Chapter 2

Clinical, Educational, and Research Applications of the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC): Integration, Effectiveness, and Evidence-Based Outcomes

Elem Kocaçal[1]

Abstract

The Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) is one of the most comprehensive and widely utilized standardized nursing terminologies, offering a unified structure for describing, documenting, and evaluating nursing care. Over more than three decades of development, NIC has matured into an evidence-informed taxonomy that supports clinical decision-making across diverse specialties and care environments. The accelerating emphasis on digital health and evidence-based practice has further highlighted the value of structured intervention data for enhancing documentation quality, enabling interoperability, and facilitating informed clinical reasoning. Current research indicates that the systematic use of NIC contributes to improved accuracy in diagnostic reasoning, greater consistency in intervention selection, and more coherent linkage between patient assessments and outcomes. Within educational settings, the integration of NIC strengthens students' analytical capabilities, fosters competency in informatics, and supports alignment with international standards for nursing education. Despite this progress, important challenges persist, including variations in implementation, limited outcome-focused research, and methodological heterogeneity across studies. This chapter synthesizes contemporary evidence on the development, integration, and effectiveness of NIC in clinical practice, education, and research, and discusses its growing significance in shaping a data-driven, technologically enhanced, and scientifically grounded nursing profession.

1 İzmir Demokrasi Üniversitesi Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, İzmir/Türkiye; ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8771-5786; elem.kocacal@hotmail.com, +905058522426

https://doi.org/10.58830/ozgur.pub1109.c4472

1. Introduction

The standardization of nursing language has emerged as a fundamental prerequisite for the advancement of nursing as an independent scientific discipline. As contemporary healthcare systems increasingly emphasize data interoperability, clinical transparency, and outcome-oriented care, the demand for precise, consistent, and universally accepted nursing terminologies has intensified (Fennelly et al., 2021). Standardized nursing terminologies (SNTs)—most notably NANDA-International (NANDA-I), the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC), and the Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC)—establish a shared conceptual and linguistic framework that enhances the accuracy, clarity, and comparability of nursing documentation across diverse clinical contexts (Wagner et al., 2023). Collectively referred to as the NNN framework, these taxonomies enable the systematic articulation of nursing diagnoses, interventions, and outcomes, thereby strengthening clinical reasoning, promoting continuity of care, and supporting evidence-based decision-making processes (Rodríguez-Suárez et al., 2023). Moreover, the growing integration of SNTs into electronic health records and digital health infrastructures has further reinforced their critical role in ensuring semantic interoperability and making nursing contributions more visible and measurable within multidisciplinary care environments (Herdman, Kamitsuru, & Lopes, 2024).

Within this conceptual triad, the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) occupies a distinctive and strategic position as the only comprehensive taxonomy specifically dedicated to the systematic classification of nursing actions across all clinical specialties and care settings (Bulechek & McCloskey, 1995). Developed and continuously updated by the Center for Nursing Knowledge at the University of Iowa, NIC currently encompasses more than 600 standardized interventions, each supported by conceptual definitions and empirically grounded nursing activities (University of Iowa, 2024; Wagner et al., 2023). Over more than three decades of iterative empirical testing, theoretical refinement, and international dissemination, NIC has evolved into a robust and dynamic framework that effectively bridges theoretical nursing knowledge with real-world clinical practice. Its most recent editions reflect shifting global health priorities through the expansion of content addressing holistic care, chronic disease management, technology-assisted interventions, and preventive health strategies (Jones et al., 2010).

The continuous inclusion of newly validated interventions—such as Yoga Therapy, Laughter Yoga, Urodynamic Testing, and Telehealth Support—illustrates NIC's capacity to respond to emerging healthcare needs and

evolving models of person-centered and technology-enabled care (Wagner et al., 2023). These developments correspond closely with global trends emphasizing preventive, holistic, and integrative approaches to health promotion and disease management. In this context, contemporary nursing research increasingly recognizes complementary therapies and digital health-supported practices—such as aromatherapy, mindfulness-based interventions, digital self-management support, and telemonitoring—as integral components of modern nursing care (Gök Metin & Özdemir, 2016). By systematically incorporating such diverse and innovative practices, NIC not only documents current clinical realities but also proactively anticipates future directions in wellness-oriented, digitally supported care delivery.

Beyond its clinical utility, NIC functions as a powerful educational and research framework that enhances the visibility, precision, and accountability of nursing practice. Its standardized and hierarchical structure supports coherent care planning, facilitates the development of clinical reasoning skills, and promotes competency-based learning in undergraduate and graduate nursing education (Park, 2023). Nevertheless, despite its widespread conceptual acceptance, empirical evaluations of NIC's direct impact on patient outcomes and its full integration within digital health ecosystems remain relatively limited. Current evidence reveals variability in implementation fidelity, underutilization of linked outcome indicators, and methodological heterogeneity across studies, all of which constrain comprehensive evaluation of NIC's effectiveness (Fennelly et al., 2021; Rodríguez-Suárez et al., 2023). These persistent gaps underscore the ongoing need for rigorously designed clinical studies, cross-institutional collaborations, and international initiatives aimed at strengthening the empirical foundation of standardized nursing terminologies and validating their contribution to high-quality, data-driven, and observable nursing care.

1.1. Development and Structure of the NIC System

The Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) is a comprehensive, research-driven taxonomy specifically developed to standardize both the language and the actions of nursing practice across clinical, educational, and research settings. Originating from the Center for Nursing Knowledge at the University of Iowa, NIC provides a systematic framework that enables nurses to document, communicate, and evaluate nursing interventions in a consistent, transparent, and reproducible manner across diverse clinical environments. As a core component of standardized nursing terminologies, NIC promotes semantic clarity, strengthens interdisciplinary communication, and enhances the visibility and measurability of nursing

care within increasingly digitalized health systems (Moorhead et al., 2023; Wagner et al., 2023). The eighth edition of NIC includes 614 standardized intervention labels organized into 30 classes distributed across seven major domains: Physiological: Basic; Physiological: Complex; Behavioral; Safety; Family; Health System; and Community. This hierarchical domain–class–intervention structure enables clinicians and educators to locate nursing interventions according to conceptual focus and level of clinical complexity, thereby supporting systematic documentation, care planning, and curriculum development (Wagner et al., 2023). Moreover, this multilevel organization aligns closely with international initiatives aimed at improving care continuity, data comparability, and quality assurance through the use of standardized clinical terminologies.

Each NIC intervention is assigned a unique numerical code and is accompanied by a precise conceptual definition and an evidence-based list of recommended nursing activities. This structured design ensures interoperability with related standardized nursing terminologies, particularly NANDA-International (NANDA-I) and the Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC), thereby forming a coherent NNN framework that supports diagnostic reasoning, intervention selection, and outcome evaluation (Herdman et al., 2023; Moorhead et al., 2023). Through this alignment, NIC facilitates the integration of nursing data into electronic health records and clinical decision-support systems, enabling large-scale data aggregation, secondary data analysis, and quality improvement initiatives at institutional and population levels. The most recent revision of NIC introduced approximately 60 newly validated interventions—including Yoga Therapy, Laughter Yoga, Urodynamic Testing, and Telehealth Support—demonstrating the taxonomy's responsiveness to emerging scientific evidence, evolving holistic care paradigms, and technology-enhanced clinical practices (Wagner et al., 2023). These additions reflect broader transformations in healthcare characterized by increased attention to non-pharmacological interventions, patient-centered care models, and digitally supported service delivery. By systematically categorizing both traditional and innovative nursing actions, NIC strengthens evidence-based decision-making, supports the development of nursing-sensitive indicators, and enhances the global comparability of nursing practice across diverse cultural and health system contexts.

Table 1 provides an overview of NIC domains and classes, illustrating the integration of traditional interventions with newly updated practices such as yoga therapy, telehealth support, and digital care coordination. This structural representation highlights the expanding and increasingly

interdisciplinary scope of contemporary nursing care as captured within the NIC framework.

Table 1. Overview of NIC Domains and Classes with Traditional and Newly Updated Interventions

Domain	**Class**	**Example Interventions**	**New / Updated Interventions**	**Focus of Care**
Physiological: Basic	Activity & Exercise	Exercise Therapy, Positioning	Yoga Therapy, Laughter Yoga	Mobility, stress reduction
Physiological: Complex	Neurologic & Cardiac	Neurologic Monitoring, Cardiac Care	Urodynamic Testing	Complex system regulation
Behavioral	Coping Assistance	Emotional Support, Active Listening	Mindfulness Facilitation	Emotional regulation
Safety	Risk Management	Fall Prevention, Infection Control	Telehealth Support	Risk prevention and remote safety
Family	Lifespan Care	Family Process Maintenance	Family Resilience Promotion	Family adaptation
Health System	Resource Management	Case Management, Health Education	Digital Care Coordination	Interprofessional collaboration
Community	Health Promotion	Community Health Development	Climate Change Health Risk Assessment	Environmental and population health

**Note. This table presents NIC domains and classes with traditional and newly updated interventions from the 8th edition, along with their primary focus of care. Adapted from Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC), 8th ed. (Wagner et al., 2023).*

1.2. Use of the NIC in Research and Education

Beyond its direct clinical applications, the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) plays a central and transformative role in advancing nursing research and scholarly inquiry. In research contexts, NIC provides a standardized and reproducible framework for identifying, categorizing, and measuring nursing interventions, thereby enabling systematic, consistent, and comparable data collection across institutions, care settings, and populations. By linking NIC-coded interventions with Nursing Outcomes Classification

(NOC) indicators, researchers are able to examine the relationships between specific nursing actions and measurable patient outcomes with greater methodological rigor (Fennelly et al., 2021; Rodríguez-Suárez et al., 2023). Empirical studies employing NIC in structured research designs have demonstrated meaningful improvements in clinical indicators such as blood pressure regulation, glycemic control, symptom burden, and functional recovery. Moreover, the availability of NIC-structured datasets constitutes a critical foundation for predictive analytics, large-scale secondary data analysis, and the development of artificial intelligence–driven clinical decision-support systems, thereby enhancing the precision, personalization, and timeliness of nursing care (Cho et al., 2023; Dunn Lopez et al., 2023).

Within the domain of nursing education, NIC functions as an essential pedagogical instrument that bridges theoretical knowledge with real-world clinical reasoning and intervention planning. When embedded into simulation laboratories, case-based learning environments, and digital learning platforms, NIC enables students to plan nursing interventions in a systematic manner, justify their decisions using scientific evidence, and clearly articulate the clinical rationale underlying nursing actions. Park's (2023) study demonstrated that nursing students who applied NIC in stroke-care scenarios produced more coherent, comprehensive, and professionally structured care plans compared with those using non-standardized approaches. Such structured learning experiences foster higher-order clinical reasoning, promote reflective practice, and support the development of professional judgment, all of which are essential competencies in highly digitalized and data-intensive healthcare environments.

The incorporation of NIC into undergraduate and graduate nursing curricula also aligns closely with international frameworks that emphasize digital competence, informatics literacy, and standardized clinical documentation as core elements of contemporary nursing practice. As health systems worldwide increasingly rely on electronic health records, data-driven quality monitoring, and interoperable information systems, students trained in the use of NIC become better equipped to participate effectively in evidence-based care delivery and interdisciplinary communication. Furthermore, the early integration of standardized nursing terminologies into education strengthens professional identity, enhances conceptual consistency across educational and clinical domains, and supports the global mobility of the nursing workforce. In this evolving context, NIC-based education ensures that future nurses are prepared to function competently within technologically sophisticated, outcome-oriented, and internationally harmonized healthcare systems (Saba & McCormick, 2021).

Integration of NIC into Nursing Information Systems

The integration of the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) into electronic health record (EHR) systems has fundamentally transformed the way nursing care is documented, monitored, and analyzed within contemporary healthcare environments. The use of structured, standardized, and interoperable NIC codes within digital platforms significantly enhances the visibility, traceability, and measurability of nursing contributions, ensuring that nursing interventions are accurately represented within multidisciplinary clinical datasets. Through this integration, communication across professional boundaries is strengthened, continuity of care is reinforced, and nursing practice becomes fully embedded within organizational and national health information infrastructures (Amin et al., 2025; Frauenfelder et al., 2011).

When systematically embedded within EHR architectures, NIC codes enable automated and bidirectional linkages between nursing actions and patient outcomes, thereby facilitating real-time data retrieval, benchmarking, performance monitoring, and quality reporting. Used in conjunction with NANDA-International (NANDA-I) diagnostic labels and Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC) indicators, NIC completes a fully standardized digital continuum of the nursing process. This digital triad allows automatic mapping between assessment findings, selected interventions, and measurable outcome indicators, thereby reinforcing care standardization and supporting system-level surveillance of clinical effectiveness and safety (Dunn Lopez et al., 2023; Rodríguez-Suárez et al., 2023).

From an organizational analytics perspective, healthcare institutions implementing NIC-coded care plans gain access to advanced analytical capabilities, including the monitoring of workload distribution, evaluation of resource utilization and cost-effectiveness, and identification of recovery and complication trends across patient populations. These capabilities are further amplified with the growing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technologies. Clinical decision-support systems trained on standardized NIC–NOC linkages can generate individualized, evidence-informed intervention recommendations tailored to patient-specific risk profiles. In parallel, predictive models based on large-scale standardized nursing datasets enable early detection of clinical deterioration, thereby facilitating proactive interventions that enhance patient safety and optimize outcomes (D'Agostino et al., 2020; Fennelly et al., 2021).

From an educational and workforce development perspective, exposure to simulated and real-world EHR platforms incorporating NIC coding

deepens students' and novice nurses' understanding of the interconnected nature of assessment, intervention planning, and outcome evaluation. Engagement with NIC-based digital documentation strengthens analytical reasoning, reinforces standardized clinical thinking, and enhances readiness for technology-integrated clinical environments. Such learning experiences contribute directly to the development of informatics literacy, which is increasingly recognized as a core professional competency in modern, data-driven health systems (Park, 2023; Sanson et al., 2017). In this broader context, embedding NIC within digital infrastructures strengthens interoperability, transparency, and accountability while ensuring that nursing practice remains both visible and quantifiable within evolving models of digitally supported, outcome-oriented care (Ambrosi et al., 2025).

Evidence Base of NNN Terminologies (2012–2023)

Between 2012 and 2023, the body of evidence on standardized nursing terminologies—NANDA-International (NANDA-I), the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC), and the Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC)—expanded considerably, reflecting a growing global emphasis on improving documentation quality, clinical decision-making, and outcome evaluation. A scoping review by Fennelly et al. (2021), which synthesized 18 studies published between 2012 and 2019, demonstrated that the systematic use of NNN terminologies was associated with improved documentation accuracy, enhanced continuity of care, and strengthened interdisciplinary communication. Nevertheless, the authors also highlighted the limited number of outcome-focused studies and emphasized the need for more rigorous experimental and quasi-experimental research designs capable of directly measuring the impact of standardized terminologies on patient and organizational outcomes.

Further strengthening the empirical foundation, Rodríguez-Suárez et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review of 17 studies evaluated using CASPe, JBI, and GRADE methodological criteria. Their synthesis indicated that NNN-guided care improved diagnostic precision, reinforced the conceptual linkage between nursing interventions and patient outcomes, and contributed to measurable improvements in key clinical indicators, including blood pressure control, glycemic regulation (HbA1c), and functional capacity. Although variability in study quality and methodological approaches was observed, the overall evidence supported the conclusion that standardized nursing terminologies significantly enhance the structure, internal coherence, and clinical effectiveness of the nursing process across diverse populations and care settings.

Evidence regarding the educational value of NNN terminologies has also accumulated during this period. Park (2023), in a study involving 142 simulated stroke-care cases, demonstrated that nursing students were able to identify 89 distinct NIC interventions—predominantly within the Physiological: Basic and Safety domains—when guided by standardized terminology. These findings underscore NIC's pedagogical utility in strengthening students' clinical reasoning, structuring systematic care plans, and promoting conceptual coherence between assessment findings, intervention selection, and expected outcomes. Such educational evidence indicates that early exposure to NNN frameworks fosters informatics literacy and prepares students for participation in evidence-driven, data-intensive clinical environments.

Collectively, the literature published over the past decade demonstrates that the NNN framework supports improvements in clinical reasoning, standardized documentation, quality monitoring, and digital integration of nursing practice. At the same time, several critical gaps persist in the current evidence base. These include the limited availability of large-scale multicenter randomized controlled trials, inconsistent use of standardized NOC outcome indicators, and insufficient representation of culturally and geographically diverse populations. Addressing these limitations through methodologically robust, internationally coordinated research will be essential for validating the global applicability, interoperability, and long-term clinical effectiveness of NIC and the broader NNN system.

2. Conclusion and Future Directions

The adoption of the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) represents a pivotal transformation in nursing toward a discipline increasingly grounded in standardized, transparent, and evidence-based frameworks. Accumulating empirical evidence indicates that the systematic use of NIC enhances clinical decision-making clarity, strengthens situational reasoning, and substantially increases the visibility and measurability of nursing contributions within multidisciplinary care environments (Wagner et al., 2023; Chae, Oh, & Moorhead, 2020). Furthermore, findings derived from systematic reviews suggest that the broader NNN framework (NANDA-I, NIC, and NOC) is associated with improvements in key clinical indicators—such as glycemic control, blood pressure regulation, and functional capacity—although heterogeneity in study designs and outcome metrics continues to limit definitive causal inferences (Bertocchi et al., 2023; Rodríguez-Suárez et al., 2023).

In educational contexts, the integration of NIC into simulation-based learning, case-based pedagogy, and informatics-driven curricula has been shown to cultivate higher-order clinical reasoning and prepare future nurses for data-intensive, digitally mediated models of care. Evidence consistently demonstrates that curricular models embedding standardized terminologies yield more coherent care planning, stronger analytical readiness, and improved alignment between theoretical knowledge and clinical application (Park, 2023). Concurrently, the incorporation of NIC within digital health infrastructures is reshaping nursing workflows by enabling semantic interoperability, automated linkage of assessment–intervention–outcome data, and algorithm-supported clinical decision-making, thereby supporting the emergence of analytics-driven, precision-oriented nursing practice (Bertocchi et al., 2025).

Despite these advances, significant constraints remain. A substantial proportion of existing studies relies on single-site cohorts, lacks longitudinal follow-up, employs inconsistent NOC outcome indicators, and insufficiently accounts for cultural, organizational, and contextual factors influencing standardized terminology adoption. Comprehensive reviews have further highlighted that, despite more than two decades of scholarly work, robust evidence regarding the cost-effectiveness and system-level impacts of NIC implementation remains limited (Chae et al., 2020; Monsen et al., 2023). In parallel, user-centered evaluations reveal that only a segment of the nursing workforce consistently perceives tangible benefits from EHR systems incorporating standardized terminologies, pointing to persistent challenges related to usability, workflow integration, and interpretability. Collectively, these findings suggest that although NIC has achieved substantial conceptual and structural maturation, its full transformative potential within nursing science and health systems has not yet been fully realized.

Looking forward, further advancement of NIC will require methodologically rigorous, large-scale, multicenter randomized controlled trials; consistent utilization of standardized NOC outcome indicators; robust cost–benefit and health economic analyses; and culturally sensitive cross-national implementation studies. Equally important is the continued development of resilient, interoperable informatics architectures in which NIC is embedded as a normative and integral component of everyday nursing workflows. Through these strategic efforts, NIC can evolve beyond a descriptive taxonomy into a dynamic engine for innovation—advancing evidence-based practice, strengthening digital integration, and ensuring sustainable quality improvement across contemporary and future healthcare systems.

References

1. Ambrosi, E., Mezzalira, E., Canzan, F., Leardini, C., Vita, G., Marini, G., & Longhini, J. (2025). Effectiveness of digital health interventions for chronic conditions management in European primary care settings: Systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 196, 105820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2025.105820
2. Amin, S. M., El-Fattah Mahgoub, S. A., Tawfik, A. F., Khalil, D. E., El-Sayed, A. A. I., Atta, M. H. R., Albzia, A., & Morsy Mohamed, S. R. (2025). Nursing education in the digital era: The role of digital competence in enhancing academic motivation and lifelong learning among nursing students. BMC Nursing, 24(1), 571. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-03199-2
3. Bertocchi, L., Dante, A., La Cerra, C., Masotta, V., Marcotullio, A., Jones, D., Petrucci, C., & Lancia, C. (2023). Impact of standardized nursing terminologies on patient and organizational outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 55(6), 1126–1153. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12894
4. Bertocchi, L., Petrucci, C., Masotta, V., Marcotullio, A., Jones, D., Lancia, L., & Dante, A. (2025). Standardized nursing terminologies and electronic health records: A secondary analysis of a systematic review. Healthcare, 13(16), 1952. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13161952
5. Bulechek, G. M., & McCloskey, J. C. (1995). Nursing interventions classification (NIC). Medinfo, 8(2), 1368.
6. Chae, S., Oh, H., & Moorhead, S. (2020). Effectiveness of nursing interventions using standardized nursing terminologies: An integrative review. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 42(11), 963–973. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945919900488
7. Cho, I., Cho, J., Hong, J. H., Choe, W. S., & Shin, H. (2023). Utilizing standardized nursing terminologies in implementing an AI-powered fall-prevention tool to improve patient outcomes: A multihospital study. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 30(11), 1826–1836. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocad145
8. D'Agostino, F., Zeffiro, V., Vellone, E., Ausili, D., Belsito, R., Leto, A., & Alvaro, R. (2020). Cross-mapping of nursing care terms recorded in Italian hospitals into the standardized NNN terminology. International Journal of Nursing Knowledge, 31(1), 4–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/2047-3095.12200
9. Dunn Lopez, K., Heermann Langford, L., Kennedy, R., McCormick, K., Delaney, C. W., Alexander, G., Englebright, J., Carroll, W. M., & Monsen, K. A. (2023). Future advancement of health care through standardized nursing terminologies: Reflections from a Friends of the National Library of Medicine workshop honoring Virginia K. Saba. Journal of the Ame-

rican Medical Informatics Association, 30(11), 1878–1884. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocad156

10. Fennelly, O., Grogan, L., Reed, A., & Hardiker, N. R. (2021). Use of standardized terminologies in clinical practice: A scoping review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 149, 104431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104431
11. Frauenfelder, F., Müller-Staub, M., Needham, I., & Van Achterberg, T. (2011). Nursing phenomena in inpatient psychiatry. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 18(3), 221–235. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2850.2010.01659.x
12. Gök Metin, Z., & Özdemir, L. (2016). The effects of aromatherapy massage and reflexology on pain and fatigue in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A randomized controlled trial. Pain Management Nursing, 17(2), 140–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2016.01.004
13. Herdman, T. H., Kamitsuru, S., & Lopes, C. (Eds.). (2024). NANDA International nursing diagnoses: Definitions and classification, 2024–2026 (13th ed.). Thieme Medical Publishers.
14. Jones, D., Lunney, M., Keenan, G., & Moorhead, S. (2010). Standardized nursing languages: Essential for the nursing workforce. Annual Review of Nursing Research, 28, 253–294. https://doi.org/10.1891/0739-6686.28.253
15. Monsen, K. A., Heermann Langford, L., Bakken, S., & Dunn Lopez, K. (2023). Standardized nursing terminologies come of age: Advancing quality of care, population health, and health equity across the care continuum. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 30(11), 1757–1759. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocad173
16. Moorhead, S., Swanson, E., & Johnson, M. (2023). Nursing outcomes classification (NOC): Measurement of health outcomes (7th ed.). Elsevier.
17. Park, J. E. (2023). Identifying nursing interventions captured in patients with stroke by Korean nursing students: Nursing interventions classification study. Journal of Korean Gerontological Nursing, 25(1), 69–75. https://doi.org/10.17079/jkgn.2023.25.1.69
18. Rodríguez-Suárez, C. A., González-de la Torre, H., Hernández-De Luis, M. N., Fernández-Gutiérrez, D. Á., Martínez-Alberto, C. E., & Brito-Brito, P. R. (2023). Effectiveness of a standardized nursing process using NANDA International, Nursing Interventions Classification and Nursing Outcome Classification terminologies: A systematic review. Healthcare, 11(17), 2449. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11172449
19. Saba, V. K., & McCormick, K. A. (2021). Essentials of nursing informatics (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
20. Sanson, G., Vellone, E., Kangasniemi, M., Alvaro, R., & D'Agostino, F. (2017). Impact of nursing diagnoses on patient and organisational outco-

mes: A systematic literature review. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 26(23–24), 3764–3783. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13717

21. University of Iowa, College of Nursing, Center for Nursing Classification and Clinical Effectiveness. (2024). NIC & NOC fact sheet. https://nursing.uiowa.edu/cncce/facts
22. Wagner, C. M., Butcher, H. K., & Clarke, M. F. (2023). Nursing interventions classification (NIC) (8th ed.). Elsevier.