

## Transformation of Aesthetic Perception in Women: An Artificial Intelligence, Beauty, and Plastic Surgery Perspective

Arda Özdemir<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most influential technological developments affecting beauty perception, social media communication, and aesthetic surgery. The widespread use of AI-driven facial enhancement filters, predictive imaging systems, and algorithm-based visual modifications has significantly transformed contemporary standards of attractiveness, particularly among women. This transformation has created both important opportunities and serious psychological, sociocultural, and ethical challenges within plastic surgery practice. AI-assisted imaging technologies may improve preoperative planning, patient education, physician–patient communication, and objective facial analysis. Machine learning systems are increasingly capable of evaluating facial symmetry, anatomical proportions, skin quality, and aging patterns with greater precision, thereby contributing to more individualized and standardized aesthetic assessment. In addition, social media platforms and digital visualization tools allow patients to communicate aesthetic expectations more effectively and participate more actively in surgical decision-making processes. Despite these advantages, AI-generated beauty standards may also contribute to unrealistic aesthetic expectations and distorted body image perception. Social media filters frequently promote digitally perfected appearances characterized by flawless skin, excessive facial symmetry, and anatomically unrealistic proportions. Plastic surgeons are also increasingly challenged by rising patient expectations for “perfect” and “filter-like” surgical outcomes that may exceed anatomical and physiological limitations. Furthermore, AI systems trained on selective datasets may unintentionally reinforce homogenized and Westernized beauty standards while reducing appreciation for ethnic and anatomical diversity. Understanding the multidimensional effects of artificial intelligence on beauty

1 Dr. Öğr.Üyesi, TOBB ETÜ Tıp Fakültesi, Plastik Rekonstrüktif ve Estetik Cerrahi Anabilim Dalı, Ankara, Türkiye, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9959-7315>

perception and plastic surgery has therefore become increasingly important. Careful integration of AI technologies, realistic patient counselling, ethical awareness, and psychological evaluation will remain essential for protecting patient well-being and maintaining sustainable aesthetic surgical practice in the future.

## INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence into aesthetic surgery has introduced several important clinical and psychological advantages. AI-assisted imaging systems and simulation technologies contribute to more effective preoperative planning, improved patient education, and enhanced physician–patient communication by allowing individuals to better visualize potential surgical outcomes (Stein & Rohrich, 2025). Particularly in rhinoplasty and facial aesthetic procedures, AI-based morphing technologies may facilitate individualized surgical planning and provide objective support for anatomical analysis and aesthetic prediction. Furthermore, machine learning algorithms are increasingly capable of evaluating facial symmetry, anatomical proportions, skin quality, and aging patterns in a more standardized and data-driven manner, thereby reducing subjectivity in aesthetic assessment (Genovese et al., 2025). Digital platforms additionally enable patients to communicate aesthetic expectations with greater clarity through reference images and AI-modified visual examples, resulting in more targeted consultations and personalized treatment strategies. When utilized responsibly within realistic clinical boundaries, AI-guided aesthetic interventions may also contribute positively to psychological well-being by improving self-confidence, body satisfaction, social participation, and emotional adjustment (Kenig et al., 2023; Mansoor & Ibrahim, 2025).

Despite these advantages, AI-driven beauty perception also presents significant psychological, sociocultural, and ethical challenges. AI-enhanced filters and digitally modified imagery frequently promote unrealistic and unattainable beauty ideals characterized by flawless skin, exaggerated facial symmetry, and anatomically unrealistic proportions that fail to reflect natural human diversity (Abbas & Dodeen, 2022). Continuous exposure to such idealized representations may encourage chronic self-comparison behaviors, reduced self-esteem, and increasing dependence on aesthetic procedures (Alam & Dover, 2001). In addition, many patients now seek “filtered yet natural” postoperative outcomes that may exceed anatomical and physiological limitations, while AI-generated simulations often fail to accurately represent edema, scar formation, tissue healing, and other realistic postoperative processes (Bronz, 1994). Persistent interaction with idealized digital imagery has also been associated with increased vulnerability to anxiety, depression,

and body dysmorphic disorder, particularly among younger individuals (Alam & Dover, 2001; Ando et al., 2021). Simultaneously, plastic surgeons face growing professional pressure to reproduce digitally optimized appearances, resulting in increased revision demands, heightened medicolegal risks, and the potential prioritization of “marketable” outcomes over sound clinical judgment (Ayar et al., 2025; Birkeland et al., 2005). Moreover, because many AI systems are trained on selective datasets, they may unintentionally reinforce homogenized, Westernized, and youth-centered beauty standards while diminishing appreciation for ethnic diversity and culturally distinct aesthetic identities.

## DISCUSSION

From an evolutionary and sociocultural perspective, female beauty perception has historically been associated with indicators of youth, fertility, reproductive potential, and overall health (Dayan, 2011; Özdemir, 2025; Rahman et al., 2025). Facial symmetry, smooth skin texture, youthful facial proportions, and secondary sexual characteristics have long been perceived as markers of biological attractiveness across different societies and historical periods. Consequently, aesthetic ideals in women are not solely shaped by personal preference, but are also deeply influenced by evolutionary, cultural, and psychosocial factors (Kiwani et al., 2024).

In addition, women frequently construct their self-image and body perception through the reactions, evaluations, and perceptions of others within their social environment (Dimitrov & Kroumpouzos, 2023; Frederick et al., 2015). Social acceptance, interpersonal feedback, and societal approval significantly contribute to the development of aesthetic self-awareness and body satisfaction (Dumitrascu et al., 2026). In the modern digital era, this phenomenon has become increasingly pronounced due to the continuous exposure to curated online content and idealized appearances presented on social media platforms (Muntaner Vives et al., 2026).

With the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence technologies and social media algorithms, contemporary beauty standards are progressively shifting toward increasingly perfected and digitally optimized facial and body aesthetics (Hussain et al., 2025). AI-enhanced filters, image-editing applications, and algorithm-driven beauty modifications frequently present unrealistic and unattainable appearances by eliminating natural anatomical variations, skin imperfections, and signs of aging (Grogan, 2021). As these digitally perfected representations become more widespread, individuals may gradually internalize these artificial standards as realistic aesthetic goals (Atiye & Chahine, 2018).

As a consequence, patient expectations regarding aesthetic surgical outcomes have substantially increased in recent years. Many patients now seek results that closely resemble AI-generated or heavily filtered appearances, often exceeding the anatomical and biological limitations of surgical intervention. This growing discrepancy between realistic surgical outcomes and digitally constructed beauty ideals may contribute to postoperative dissatisfaction, distorted body image perception, and increased psychological vulnerability. Therefore, plastic surgeons must increasingly address the influence of artificial intelligence and social media during preoperative consultation and expectation management processes(Sisti et al., 2021).

The present discussion highlights the increasingly influential role of artificial intelligence in shaping aesthetic perception, patient expectations, and decision-making processes within plastic surgery. The rapid expansion of AI-enhanced filters and image-modification technologies has fundamentally altered how individuals perceive beauty and evaluate their own physical appearance. These developments appear particularly significant among women, who are more frequently exposed to digitally modified beauty standards through social media platforms and online visual culture(Taritsa et al., 2025).

One of the most critical findings in the literature is that prior exposure to AI-enhanced imagery significantly increases patients' expectations regarding aesthetic surgical outcomes. Individuals exposed to such filters are more likely to believe that plastic surgery can substantially improve self-esteem, emotional stability, social participation, and overall quality of life. This phenomenon extends beyond aesthetic expectations and reflects a broader psychological anticipation that surgery may resolve personal insecurities and psychosocial concerns. Consequently, plastic surgeons must recognize that patients influenced by AI-generated imagery may approach consultations with expectations that exceed realistic surgical possibilities(Mahmood et al., 2018).

Recent advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning have further contributed to understanding contemporary beauty perception in rhinoplasty patients. In a large-scale facial analysis study utilizing deep convolutional neural network algorithms, researchers evaluated pre- and post-rhinoplasty photographs of women alongside photographs of actresses considered among the most beautiful women according to public perception(Givens & Perkins, 2021). Interestingly, the findings demonstrated that rhinoplasty outcomes did not move patients toward "average" facial characteristics, but rather toward phenotypic features associated with women perceived as highly attractive. Post-rhinoplasty facial composites were found to be significantly more similar to the composite facial characteristics of actresses categorized as exceptionally

beautiful. These findings suggest that aesthetic surgical preferences may not aim for facial averageness alone, but instead trend toward socially idealized and culturally reinforced beauty phenotypes. In the context of artificial intelligence, such findings are particularly important because AI-driven beauty filters and algorithms may further amplify these already idealized facial standards, thereby reinforcing unrealistic aesthetic expectations among patients seeking cosmetic surgery(Chen et al., 2019).

Another important consideration involves the perception of surgical safety and complications. AI-enhanced images commonly present idealized postoperative appearances while omitting realistic features such as scarring, edema, pigmentation changes, contracture, or infection-related complications. Exposure to these idealized representations may falsely increase patient confidence regarding perioperative and postoperative outcomes. Such misconceptions may contribute to disappointment and dissatisfaction when actual healing processes differ from digitally enhanced expectations. Therefore, comprehensive preoperative counselling regarding surgical limitations and potential complications has become increasingly essential in the era of AI-driven aesthetic modification(Duong et al., 2024).

The psychological implications of AI-mediated beauty perception also warrant considerable attention. Existing evidence suggests that discrepancies between anticipated and actual postoperative outcomes may lead to anxiety, depression, emotional distress, and body dysmorphic tendencies. Patients with pre-existing psychological vulnerabilities may be particularly susceptible to the influence of AI-enhanced beauty standards, thereby creating a self-perpetuating cycle of dissatisfaction and unrealistic self-comparison. Conversely, patients whose outcomes align with realistic expectations generally demonstrate improved self-esteem, body image, and psychological well-being(Vuyk et al., 1998).

Historically, computer imaging and morphing technologies have been utilized as valuable tools for facial analysis, surgical planning, and informed consent. Previous studies demonstrated that physician-guided morphing systems improved patient understanding and increased confidence in surgical planning. However, contemporary open-source AI filters differ substantially from controlled clinical imaging systems because they operate without medical supervision and often prioritize exaggerated aesthetic enhancement over anatomical realism. This distinction underscores the necessity for clinicians to differentiate between medically appropriate simulation tools and commercially driven AI beautification algorithms(Nogueira et al., 2025).

Beyond the clinical setting, AI may also influence broader societal and cultural perceptions of beauty. Historically, aesthetic norms have been shaped by human-driven cultural mechanisms such as art, religion, and education. However, AI-generated visual media now possesses the capacity to redefine cultural standards on a global scale. As younger populations increasingly engage with AI-dominated social media content, future societal concepts of attractiveness may become progressively influenced by algorithmic bias and digitally optimized appearances. This evolution raises important ethical concerns regarding the perpetuation of unrealistic beauty standards and harmful stereotypes.

This evolving aesthetic environment places considerable pressure not only on women, but also on plastic surgeons who frequently perform cosmetic procedures. Women are increasingly exposed to unrealistic beauty standards generated by artificial intelligence and social media platforms, leading to heightened self-criticism, dissatisfaction with natural appearance, and persistent comparison with digitally modified images. Continuous exposure to idealized facial and body features may negatively affect psychological well-being, self-esteem, and body image perception(Özkan et al., 2025).

Simultaneously, plastic surgeons are confronted with progressively increasing patient demands and expectations that may exceed anatomical, physiological, and surgical limitations(Honigman et al., 2004). Patients influenced by AI-enhanced imagery often expect near-perfect aesthetic outcomes with minimal evidence of surgery, scarring, recovery, or complications. This situation creates substantial challenges in preoperative counselling, expectation management, and postoperative patient satisfaction. Furthermore, surgeons may experience increasing professional pressure to achieve results that resemble digitally altered appearances rather than naturally achievable surgical outcomes(Taritsa et al., 2024).

As aesthetic standards continue to evolve under the influence of artificial intelligence, cosmetic surgeons may face greater ethical and psychological responsibilities in balancing patient desires with realistic, safe, and medically appropriate outcomes. Therefore, understanding the impact of AI-driven beauty perception has become essential not only for protecting patient mental health, but also for preserving the integrity and sustainability of aesthetic surgical practice(Liang et al., 2021).

From a plastic surgery perspective, continuous monitoring of these sociocultural changes is necessary. Plastic surgeons must remain vigilant regarding the influence of AI on patient psychology, aesthetic demands, and postoperative satisfaction(Castle et al., 2002). Developing effective

communication strategies, strengthening psychological screening processes, and emphasizing realistic surgical outcomes may help minimize dissatisfaction and improve patient-centered care in the evolving AI era(Hoy, 2008).

## CONCLUSION

The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI), particularly through social media filters and image-enhancing applications, has profoundly altered contemporary perceptions of beauty and aesthetic standards. In modern plastic surgery practice, patient satisfaction is increasingly determined by psychological well-being and postoperative alignment with aesthetic expectations, rather than conventional metrics of surgical success alone. While professional AI integration such as preoperative simulations and morphing tools serves as a valuable asset for visual estimation, commercial AI filters often generate idealized, anatomically unfeasible outcomes(Adelson, 2013). This discrepancy frequently leads to distorted patient expectations, body dissatisfaction, and heightened body dysmorphic tendencies, especially among younger cohorts(Kalter et al., 1995). Consequently, managing these algorithmically driven beauty ideals through meticulous preoperative counseling has become a critical necessity for plastic surgeons to mitigate psychological distress, ensure postoperative satisfaction, and safeguard the physician-patient relationship. Plastic surgeons should therefore incorporate structured preoperative assessment of patients' AI-related aesthetic exposure and explicitly discuss the limitations of digitally altered outcomes during consultation. Furthermore, establishing realistic, anatomically achievable goals through patient education and, when appropriate, psychological screening may improve postoperative satisfaction and reduce expectation-related dissatisfaction.

## References

- Abbas, L., & Dodeen, H. (2022). Body dysmorphic features among Snapchat users of “Beauty-Retouching of Selfies” and its relationship with quality of life. *Media Asia*, 49(3), 196-212.
- Adelson, R. T. (2013). Computer simulated imaging in rhinoplasty. *Advanced aesthetic rhinoplasty: art, science, and new clinical techniques*, 109-118.
- Alam, M., & Dover, J. S. (2001). On beauty: evolution, psychosocial considerations, and surgical enhancement. *Archives of dermatology*, 137(6), 795-807.
- Ando, K., Giorgianni, F. E., Danthinne, E. S., & Rodgers, R. F. (2021). Beauty ideals, social media, and body positivity: A qualitative investigation of influences on body image among young women in Japan. *Body Image*, 38, 358-369.
- Atiye, B., & Chahine, F. (2018). Metrics of the aesthetically perfect breast. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 42(5), 1187-1194.
- Ayar, D., Aksu, Ç., Polat, F., & Elkoca, A. (2025). The effects of popularity perceptions and social appearance anxiety on the desire of young people to have aesthetic procedures on social media. *Current Psychology*, 44(5), 3811-3820.
- Birkeland, R., Thompson, J. K., Herbozo, S., Roehrig, M., Cafri, G., & Van den Berg, P. (2005). Media exposure, mood, and body image dissatisfaction: An experimental test of person versus product priming. *Body Image*, 2(1), 53-61.
- Bronz, G. (1994). Predictability of the computer imaging system in primary rhinoplasty. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 18(2), 175-181.
- Castle, D. J., Honigman, R. J., & Phillips, K. A. (2002). Does cosmetic surgery improve psychosocial wellbeing? *Medical journal of Australia*, 176(12), 601-604.
- Chen, J., Ishii, M., Bater, K. L., Darrach, H., Liao, D., Huynh, P. P., Reh, I. P., Nellis, J. C., Kumar, A. R., & Ishii, L. E. (2019). Association between the use of social media and photograph editing applications, self-esteem, and cosmetic surgery acceptance. *JAMA facial plastic surgery*, 21(5), 361-367.
- Dayan, S. H. (2011). What is beauty, and why do we care so much about it? *Archives of Facial Plastic Surgery*, 13(1), 66-67.
- Dimitrov, D., & Kroumpouzos, G. (2023). Beauty perception: A historical and contemporary review. *Clinics in dermatology*, 41(1), 33-40.
- Dumitrascu, D. I., Popa, S. L., Incze, V., Amarie, D.-S., Gaspari, L., Aluas, P., Ismaiel, A., Leucuta, D. C., David, L., & Mihaileanu, F. V. (2026). Artificial Intelligence and Esthetics: Redefining Precision and Beauty in Plastic Surgery. *Medicina*, 62(4), 633.

- Duong, T. V., Vy, V. P. T., & Hung, T. N. K. (2024). Artificial intelligence in plastic surgery: advancements, applications, and future. *Cosmetics*, *11*(4), 109.
- Frederick, D., Forbes, M., Jenkins, B., Reynolds, T., & Walters, T. (2015). Beauty standards. *The international encyclopedia of human sexuality*, *1*, 113-196.
- Genovese, A., Borna, S., Gomez-Cabello, C. A., Haider, S. A., Prabha, S., Trabilisy, M., & Forte, A. J. (2025). The current landscape of artificial intelligence in plastic surgery education and training: a systematic review. *Journal of surgical education*, *82*(8), 103519.
- Givens, V. B., & Perkins, S. W. (2021). Preoperative imaging and online photo galleries: the# key to surgical commitment. *The Surgery Journal*, *7*(04), e322-e326.
- Grogan, S. (2021). *Body image: Understanding body dissatisfaction in men, women and children*. Routledge.
- Honigman, R. J., Phillips, K. A., & Castle, D. J. (2004). A review of psychosocial outcomes for patients seeking cosmetic surgery. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, *113*(4), 1229-1237.
- Hoy, E. (2008). Measuring patient experiences of care. *Bulletin of the American College of Surgeons*, *93*(5), 13-16.
- Hussain, B., Aslam, S., & Imran, A. (2025). Manufacturing beauty: How AI and Social media are redefining aesthetic norms in emerging digital cultures. *Acta Psychologica*, *260*, 105734.
- Kalter, P. O., van der Baan, B., & Vuyk, H. (1995). Medicolegal aspects of otolaryngologic, facial plastic, and reconstructive surgery. *Facial plastic surgery*, *11*(02), 105-110.
- Kenig, N., Echeverria, J. M., & Vives, A. M. (2023). Human beauty according to artificial intelligence. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery–Global Open*, *11*(7), e5153.
- Kiwan, O., Al-Kalbani, M., Rafie, A., & Hijazi, Y. (2024). Artificial intelligence in plastic surgery, where do we stand? *JPRAS open*, *42*, 234-243.
- Liang, X., Yang, X., Yin, S., Malay, S., Chung, K. C., Ma, J., & Wang, K. (2021). Artificial intelligence in plastic surgery: applications and challenges. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, *45*(2), 784-790.
- Mahmood, E., Peymani, A., Chen, A. D., Egeler, S. A., Johnson, A. R., Malyar, M., & Lin, S. J. (2018). Determining Facial Beauty Using Artificial Intelligence. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery–Global Open*, *6*(8S), 162-163.
- Mansoor, M., & Ibrahim, A. F. (2025). The transformative role of artificial intelligence in plastic and reconstructive surgery: challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *14*(8), 2698.

- Muntaner Vives, A., Ostrerova, M., & Kenig, N. (2026). Looksmaxxing: An Emerging Facial Aesthetic Culture. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery*, 1-6.
- Nogueira, R., Eguchi, M., Kasmirski, J., de Lima, B. V., Dimatos, D. C., Lima, D. L., Glatter, R., Tran, D. L., & Piccinini, P. S. (2025). Machine learning, deep learning, artificial intelligence and aesthetic plastic surgery: a qualitative systematic review. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 49(1), 389-399.
- Özdemir, A. (2025). Modified Thorek Mammoplasty for the Treatment of Idiopathic Gigantomastia. *ACH Medical Journal*, 4(2), 63-69.
- Özkan, F. N., Özkaynar, K., & Abbasoğlu, Ş. (2025). Unrealistic beauty ideals: Artificial intelligence and consumers' self-image perceptions. *Consumer marketing, AI: Dark sides and ethics*, 239-262.
- Rahman, E., Rao, P., Sayed, K., Webb, W. R., Sadeghi-Esfahlani, S., Yu, N., Garcia, P. E., Ioannidis, S., Nassif, A. D., & Philipp-Dormston, W. G. (2025). Is Asymmetry the New Perfect? Redefining Beauty with Artificial Intelligence. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 1-21.
- Sisti, A., Aryan, N., & Sadeghi, P. (2021). What is beauty? *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 45(5), 2163-2176.
- Stein, M. J., & Rohrich, R. (2025). Artificial intelligence and postoperative monitoring in plastic surgery. *Plastic Surgery*, 33(2), 312-317.
- Taritsa, I. C., Foppiani, J. A., Escobar, M. J., Lee, D., Nguyen, K., Hernandez Alvarez, A., Schuster, K. A., Lee, B. T., & Lin, S. J. (2025). Impact of artificial intelligence (AI) image enhancing filters on patient expectations for plastic surgery outcomes. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 49(13), 3849-3857.
- Taritsa, I. C., Sandepudi, K., Williams, T., Huffman, K., & Galiano, R. D. (2024). Visualization in plastic surgery: open-source artificial intelligence can accelerate reconstructive operative techniques and reports. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 153(1), 225e-226e.
- Vuyk, Stroomer, & Vinayak. (1998). The role of computer imaging in facial plastic surgery consultation: a clinical study. *Clinical Otolaryngology & Allied Sciences*, 23(3), 235-243.