

Consumer Behavior in Online Retail Environments

Ömer Sezai Aykaç¹

Abstract

This chapter synthesizes contemporary research on consumer behaviour in online retail, linking a non-linear decision journey with the drivers of purchase intention and data-driven segmentation. We first describe how digital touchpoints compress and loop the stages of need recognition, search, evaluation, purchase, and post-purchase sharing, emphasizing the roles of social proof, reviews, and algorithmic cues in accelerating choices. We then integrate evidence on the antecedents of online purchase intention—trust versus perceived risk, website quality and usability, and the dual contribution of utilitarian (convenience, value) and hedonic (flow, enjoyment) benefits—showing how each factor shapes conversion. Building on this foundation, the chapter reviews segmentation and targeting approaches suited to e-retail: demographic and generational patterns, psychographic motives and decision styles, and behaviour-based methods that exploit clickstream and transaction data (RFM, CLV, clustering). We discuss practical targeting levers—from personalized recommendations to omni-channel coordination—while noting governance considerations around privacy, fairness, and “creepiness” in personalization. The contribution is a cohesive framework that ties consumer psychology to analytics and retail operations, offering actionable guidance for scholars and managers seeking to design trustworthy, engaging, and performance-oriented digital shopping experiences.

1. Introduction

Online retail has rapidly evolved into a mainstream channel for consumer purchasing, transforming how people search for information and make buying decisions. The proliferation of e-commerce platforms and mobile technology has reshaped traditional consumer behavior patterns, making

1 Dr., Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Sakarya Vocational School
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1500-623X>, oaykac@subu.edu.tr

convenience and speed paramount (Yadav & Pavlou, 2014; Pavlou, 2003). This shift was further accelerated by global events such as the COVID-19 pandemic, during which consumers dramatically increased their online shopping activities (Soares et al., 2023; Truong & Truong, 2022). Studies observed that health and safety concerns pushed many consumers to shop online for a wider range of products, leading to lasting changes in purchase habits (Sheth, 2020; Roggeveen & Sethuraman, 2020). In the online environment, consumers enjoy unparalleled product variety, competitive pricing, and personalized promotions (Ameen et al., 2021; Ghosh, 2024). Features like instant discounts, coupon codes, and algorithmic product recommendations have attracted shoppers by offering superior utility and customization compared to traditional retail channels (Alalwan, 2018; Ameen et al., 2021). At the same time, online retailing introduces new challenges in consumer behavior. Notably, trust and perceived risk have emerged as critical factors in online purchase decisions, since customers cannot physically inspect products or meet sellers (Jarvenpaa et al., 2000; Yıldırım & Türkmen Barutçu, 2016; Bauman & Bachmann, 2017; Kutlu, 2024). Researchers have consistently found that concerns over privacy, security, and potential fraud can dampen consumers' willingness to buy online if not properly addressed (Bianchi & Andrews, 2012; Fortes & Rita, 2016). Indeed, building and maintaining consumer trust is a central imperative for e-retailers to convert browsing into buying. Given the importance of these issues, marketing scholars have devoted increasing attention to understanding consumer behavior in online retail environments (Yadav & Pavlou, 2014). Retailers have embraced a variety of digital technologies and data-driven strategies to engage customers, making it crucial to analyze how these innovations impact decision-making processes and purchase motivations (Grewal et al., 2017; Grewal et al., 2020). This chapter examines consumer behavior in e-retail across the key stages of the online decision process, the factors influencing online purchase intentions, and approaches to customer segmentation and targeting in digital marketplaces. Understanding customer experience throughout the online journey is vital for both theorists and practitioners seeking to optimize marketing strategies in this era of omnipresent e-commerce (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016). The insights outlined will shed light on how digital consumers make decisions, what drives them to click "buy," and how marketers can effectively segment and target online shopper groups for sustained success.

2. Online Decision-Making Processes

Consumers' decision-making processes in online retail environments both parallel and diverge from established models of offline consumer behavior. Traditionally, decision models describe a linear progression through need recognition, information search, evaluation of alternatives, purchase, and post-purchase outcomes. In digital contexts, however, this journey has become less linear and more dynamic (Sharma et al., 2023; Schweidel et al., 2022). Modern consumers often oscillate between stages or even bypass steps thanks to the immediacy of information and purchasing online. For example, the availability of one-click purchasing and personalized product suggestions means that attention or desire can lead directly to a transaction without extensive deliberation (Sharma et al., 2023). Digital technologies and platforms have thus introduced fluidity into the decision journey, enabling customers to move swiftly from initial awareness to purchase in a compressed timeframe when stimuli are compelling (Schweidel et al., 2022). The customer journey is now commonly understood as a loop or network of touchpoints rather than a linear funnel, requiring marketers to manage multiple interactions simultaneously (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016).

Information search and evaluation: In online retail, consumers have unprecedented access to information, reviews, and alternatives, which heavily influences their search and evaluation behavior. The internet empowers shoppers to conduct extensive comparisons across brands and retailers with minimal effort, leading to more informed (and sometimes more indecisive) evaluations. Much of this process is guided by electronic word-of-mouth and digital content. Consumers routinely consult user-generated reviews, ratings, and recommendations during their information search, treating them as key inputs in decision-making (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; Erkan & Evans, 2016). Empirical research confirm that exposure to e-WOM among Generation Z strengthens status symbols (conspicuous) and materialistic tendencies that accelerate choice and purchase (Kurnaz & Duman, 2021) and that the presence of abundant online reviews significantly alters how options are evaluated: positive reviews can quickly elevate a lesser-known product in a consumer's consideration set, whereas negative feedback can just as swiftly dissuade a purchase (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; King et al., 2014). Moreover, real-time digital "signals" – such as trending products, social media endorsements, or the number of other shoppers viewing an item – serve as heuristic cues that simplify evaluation by indicating popularity or quality (Schweidel et al., 2022). These cues leverage principles of social influence; for instance, seeing that a product is highly rated or "bestselling" provides social proof that can shortcut a consumer's evaluation effort

(Cialdini & Goldstein, 2004). In practice, online consumers often trust the aggregated opinions of others when they cannot physically inspect products, highlighting the powerful role of eWOM and crowd wisdom in the digital decision process (Erkan & Evans, 2016; Dwidienawati et al., 2020).

Decision heuristics and speed: The digital environment encourages the use of decision heuristics due to the sheer volume of information available. Consumers may rely on brand reputation, price anchors, or recommendation algorithms to make choices more efficiently. High website usability and interactive decision aids also contribute to faster decision-making online. Research shows that well-designed e-commerce interfaces – featuring easy navigation, clear product displays, and interactive filters – can induce a state of flow or playfulness that keeps consumers engaged and accelerates their movement toward a purchase (Ahn et al., 2007; Hajli, 2015). For example, a robust recommendation system that suggests relevant products can reduce the cognitive load on consumers by curating options that fit their preferences, thereby expediting the evaluation stage (Hsu et al., 2013). In many cases, consumers may add items to cart and complete purchases on impulse when the online shopping experience is smooth and enjoyable (Amos et al., 2014). The convenience of saved payment information and one-click checkout removes traditional frictions, enabling split-second purchase decisions that might not occur in a more prolonged offline setting. Indeed, meta-analytic evidence indicates that online environments foster more impulsive buying behavior due to lower transaction costs and instantaneous gratification (Amos et al., 2014; Chopdar et al., 2022). Consumers can act on a whim – a sudden desire triggered by a visually appealing website or a time-limited flash sale – with minimal delay, reflecting how the internet compresses decision timing. While impulse purchases have always been part of consumer behavior, e-retail amplifies this phenomenon by providing continuous stimuli (e.g. personalized product feeds, “Customers also bought” prompts) and frictionless purchasing opportunities (Chopdar et al., 2022).

Non-linear post-purchase dynamics: In online retail, the decision-making process often continues after the point of purchase in ways that feedback into future decisions. For instance, customers frequently share their experiences post-purchase through reviews and social media, contributing to the information pool that will influence other consumers’ choices (Sharma et al., 2023). Satisfied customers may become brand advocates by posting positive reviews or unboxing videos, which can directly impact the decision processes of new potential buyers (Erkan & Evans, 2016). Conversely, negative experiences (such as delivery issues or product disappointment) can lead to

public complaints that deter others. This sharing stage is now recognized as a critical component of the online consumer journey – one conceptual model, AISAS (Attention–Interest–Search–Action–Share), explicitly includes “Share” as a post-action phase unique to the digital era (Sharma et al., 2023). The sharing of feedback closes an iterative loop: online retailers monitor and leverage this user-generated content to adjust their offerings, and consumers incorporate collective feedback into their subsequent decision cycles. The journey thus becomes iterative and nonlinear, as post-purchase behavior (like leaving a review or engaging in loyalty programs) influences both the individual’s future decisions and the broader community’s evaluations. In summary, the online decision-making process is characterized by easy access to information, reliance on social and algorithmic cues, accelerated purchase decisions, and a continuous post-purchase feedback loop. Consumers navigate a rich tapestry of digital content and social influence, requiring marketers to facilitate efficient information search, engender trust quickly, and remain responsive throughout the customer journey (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016; Schweidel et al., 2022). By appreciating these nuances, e-retailers can better guide consumers from first click to conversion and beyond in the dynamic online marketplace.

3. Factors Influencing Purchase Intentions

Consumers’ purchase intentions in online retail environments are shaped by a wide spectrum of factors, spanning from individual perceptions and motivations to external informational cues. Recent comprehensive reviews of online purchase research illustrate that myriad determinants – including technology acceptance variables, trust and risk perceptions, security concerns, social influence, and personal characteristics – collectively drive a consumer’s likelihood to buy online (Ghosh, 2024). This section examines several core categories of factors and discuss how they influence the formation of purchase intentions in e-commerce settings. Academic findings consistently highlight a set of critical influences: (1) trust and perceived risk, (2) website/service quality and ease of use, (3) perceived value (utilitarian and hedonic benefits), (4) social influence and electronic word-of-mouth, and (5) consumer individual differences and emotions. Each factor interacts with the others to determine whether an online shopper ultimately decides to complete a purchase or abandon the virtual cart.

Trust and perceived risk: Trust is widely recognized as a cornerstone of online purchase intention. In the absence of physical interaction, consumers must rely on their trust in the e-vendor and the transaction system to feel confident in buying (Jarvenpaa et al., 2000; Pavlou, 2003). Empirical studies

show a strong positive relationship between online trust and purchase intentions: consumers who trust an online retailer's honesty, reliability, and ability to fulfill orders are far more likely to intend purchasing (Bianchi & Andrews, 2012; Handoyo, 2024). For example, a recent meta-analytic study confirmed that trust is a significant positive predictor of e-commerce purchase decisions across contexts, and it even found that trust's importance holds across different countries and shopper segments (Handoyo, 2024). Conversely, perceived risk – the anticipation of potential loss or negative outcomes – exerts a negative influence on purchase intentions (Jarvenpaa et al., 2000; Featherman & Pavlou, 2003). Consumers often worry about risks such as financial fraud, product misrepresentation, privacy breaches, or the hassle of returns when shopping online (Bauman & Bachmann, 2017; Fortes & Rita, 2016). If these perceived risks loom too large, consumers become hesitant or unwilling to proceed with a purchase (Jarvenpaa et al., 2000). Multiple studies demonstrate that mitigating perceived risk (through security guarantees, transparent return policies, and trustworthy signals) is essential to converting browsers into buyers (Bianchi & Andrews, 2012; Awad & Ragowsky, 2008). Effective trust-building measures include displaying trust seals and certifications, offering secure payment options, and providing ample product information and reviews to reduce uncertainty (Awad & Ragowsky, 2008; Ganguly et al., 2010). Additionally, privacy assurances and data protection practices can alleviate consumers' fear of misuse of personal information, thereby strengthening trust (Fortes & Rita, 2016). Overall, reducing risk and strengthening consumer trust are pivotal for positive purchase intentions: a trustworthy online environment makes consumers feel safe and is more conducive to purchase commitment (Pavlou, 2003; Handoyo, 2024).

Website quality, usability, and ease of use: The quality of an e-retailer's website or mobile app significantly influences purchase intention by shaping user experience. A well-designed site that is easy to navigate, fast, and visually appealing engenders positive attitudes and encourages consumers toward checkout (Ahn et al., 2007; Ganguly et al., 2010). Information systems research has long extended the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to online shopping, showing that perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness of a shopping website are key antecedents of shoppers' intentions (Hajli, 2015; Ghosh, 2024). If consumers find a website intuitive and helpful – for instance, through convenient search functions, clear product categorization, and useful recommendation filters – they perceive the act of online shopping as more useful and less effortful, which boosts their intention to buy (Ahn et al., 2007; Celik & Yilmaz, 2011; Ghosh, 2024). On the other hand, poor

site usability (e.g., slow loading pages, confusing layout, or errors during checkout) can frustrate users and lead to dropout before purchase. Trust is also intertwined with site quality. A professional-looking, well-functioning site increases consumer confidence in the vendor's competence (Ganguly et al., 2010). Studies have found that specific design elements such as high-quality images, detailed product descriptions, and interactive tools (like 360-degree product views or augmented reality try-ons) enhance consumers' perceived control and understanding, thereby reducing risk perceptions and encouraging purchase (Ganguly et al., 2010; Baytar et al., 2020). Fulfillment quality – including accurate stock information and reliable delivery options – also plays a role (Peinkofer et al., 2016). In sum, e-retailers who invest in superior user experience design often see higher purchase intentions among visitors, as the site itself serves as a proxy for service quality and makes the shopping process convenient and enjoyable (Ahn et al., 2007; Hernandez et al., 2011).

Perceived value (utilitarian and hedonic motivations): Consumers' motivational evaluations of online shopping – both rational and emotional – are important drivers of purchase intent. On the utilitarian side, perceived benefits such as convenience, time savings, and cost savings positively influence intentions. Online shoppers appreciate the ability to shop 24/7, compare prices easily, and have products delivered to their door, all of which add utilitarian value (Gawor & Hoberg, 2019; Chiu et al., 2014). For instance, research on repeat purchase intentions in e-commerce finds that utilitarian value (e.g., finding good deals, product variety, and efficient shopping) significantly contributes to customers' willingness to buy again (Chiu et al., 2014). If an online retailer consistently offers competitive prices or free shipping, consumers perceive a high economic value that strengthens their intent to purchase (Chiu et al., 2014). At the same time, hedonic motivations – the enjoyment and experiential pleasure of shopping – play a critical role, especially for discretionary purchases and younger consumer segments (Dharmesti et al., 2019; Hyun et al., 2022). The entertainment value of browsing a stylish website, engaging with interactive content, or discovering new products can create positive effect that translates into purchase intention. Social and experiential features like live chat shopping assistance, livestream product demonstrations, or game-like reward programs enhance this hedonic appeal. A recent study showed that inducing a flow experience on social media (for example, via engaging content or seamless social commerce integration) increased users' shopping intentions by keeping them immersed and delighted (Hyun et al., 2022). For millennial and Generation Z consumers in particular, who often treat shopping as a

leisure activity, such experiential value is as important as functional value (Dharmesti et al., 2019). Thus, successful e-retail platforms often strive to deliver both utilitarian value (through efficiency and cost advantages) and hedonic value (through engaging design and enjoyable content). A balance of both increases overall perceived value of the shopping experience, which is strongly linked to higher purchase intentions and customer loyalty (Chiu et al., 2014; Dharmesti et al., 2019).

Social influence and eWOM: The social dimension of online shopping exerts a profound influence on consumer purchase intent. As noted earlier, consumers heavily rely on electronic word-of-mouth – in the form of customer reviews, ratings, testimonials, and peer recommendations – when deciding whether to trust a product or seller (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; Erkan & Evans, 2016). Studies consistently demonstrate that positive online reviews significantly boost purchase intentions by reducing uncertainty and providing informative reassurance about product performance (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; King et al., 2014). However, this influence becomes further personalized and intensified through influencer marketing practices carried out by social media opinion leaders—such as “Instagram mothers”—who build intimate and trust-based connections with their followers by sharing their motherhood journeys (Vodinali, 2025). A meta-analysis of eWOM effects found that online consumer recommendations have a substantial impact on sales and intentions, often more than traditional marketing communications, because consumers perceive them as more credible and tailored to their concerns (King et al., 2014). Furthermore, social media influence – such as influencer endorsements, shares, and likes – has emerged as a factor shaping purchase desires. Consumers are increasingly exposed to product endorsements by influencers or peers on platforms like Instagram, YouTube, and TikTok, which can create aspirational motives and trends that drive intention to purchase those products online (Erkan & Evans, 2016; Alalwan, 2018). For example, a fashion e-retailer might see spikes in purchase intent for an item featured in a viral haul video or recommended by a popular blogger, due to the persuasive impact of parasocial trust and social proof (Hsu et al., 2013; Alalwan, 2018). Interestingly, recent research comparing sources of social influence found that user reviews can sometimes have greater influence than paid social media endorsements; when consumers actively seek out reviews, they assign them significant weight in decision-making, occasionally more than to influencer promotions (Dwidienawati et al., 2020). In any case, the broader phenomenon of social influence online – encompassing reviews, ratings, recommendations, and influencer marketing – functions as a powerful driver of purchase intentions.

It works by increasing product awareness, shaping consumer preferences, and building normative pressure (the feeling that “everyone is buying this, so maybe I should too”) (Cialdini & Goldstein, 2004; Erkan & Evans, 2016). E-retailers often facilitate and encourage eWOM (for instance, by featuring customer testimonials or enabling Q&A communities on product pages) because a rich reservoir of eWOM can substantially enhance conversion rates by making consumers more confident in their choices.

Individual differences and emotional factors: Finally, a range of personal and psychological factors intrinsic to consumers influence their online purchase intentions. One such factor is consumer innovativeness or tech-savviness – individuals who are more comfortable with technology and open to innovation tend to have stronger online buying intentions, as they trust the medium and enjoy trying new digital services (Bartels & Reinders, 2011; Akram et al., 2021). For example, consumers high in technology readiness are more likely to engage with AI-driven shopping features (like chatbots or virtual try-ons) and subsequently exhibit higher purchase intentions due to their positive attitude toward such innovations (Ameen et al., 2021). In the realm of social commerce, research indicates that some consumers adopt a more emotional decision-making style, relying on social trust and community engagement, whereas others behave more rationally, focusing on product information and comparisons; both styles can lead to purchases, but through different pathways (Akram et al., 2021). Specifically, emotional trust cultivated in social platforms (for instance, feeling part of a brand’s community or trusting peers in a Facebook group) can significantly drive purchase intention even without extensive rational evaluation (Akram et al., 2021). Another personal factor is gender, age, and culture, which can moderate the effects of the aforementioned factors. Older consumers or those from high-uncertainty-avoidance cultures might place even greater emphasis on trust and security features than younger consumers, who may be more relaxed about privacy but more demanding about website speed and convenience (Hernández et al., 2011; Bianchi & Andrews, 2012). Income and past experience also play roles: experienced online shoppers form intentions based on prior satisfaction and habit, whereas novices scrutinize risk and information more closely (Hernández et al., 2011). Additionally, mood and emotions induced during the shopping experience influence intentions – for instance, an enjoyable, stress-free online shopping episode can create positive affect that makes a consumer more inclined to finalize a purchase (Hyun et al., 2022). On the flip side, frustration (e.g., due to website errors or stockouts) or anxiety (perhaps about product fit or payment security) can dampen purchase intentions. In summary, purchase intention in online retail

is a multifaceted construct impacted by a constellation of factors. Building consumer trust and minimizing risk are prerequisites for crystallization (Jarvenpaa et al., 2000; Handoyo, 2024). Beyond that foundation, e-retailers must ensure high usability and demonstrate clear value (both practical and experiential) to motivate consumers to act (Gawor & Hoberg, 2019; Chiu et al., 2014). Social influences should be managed by encouraging positive customer engagement and leveraging eWOM (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; Erkan & Evans, 2016). Finally, recognizing heterogeneity in consumer traits and adapting the online experience to different decision styles – emotional vs. rational, impulsive vs. deliberative – can help in converting purchase intentions into actual behavior (Akram et al., 2021; Hajli, 2015). The interplay of these factors ultimately determines whether an online shopper clicks the “Buy Now” button or decides to walk away.

4. Customer Segmentation and Targeting in E-Retail

Diverse consumer behavior in online retail necessitates sophisticated customer segmentation and targeting strategies. Unlike the one-size-fits-all mass marketing of the past, e-retailers today leverage data-driven approaches to classify customers into meaningful segments and tailor marketing efforts accordingly (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). The goal of segmentation in e-retail is to group consumers based on relevant characteristics – be they demographic, psychographic, behavioral, or value-based – such that customers within a segment exhibit similar shopping patterns and can be targeted with a relatively homogenous marketing mix. Effective segmentation allows online retailers to personalize the shopping experience, improve customer satisfaction, and allocate resources efficiently by focusing on the most profitable or responsive groups (Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Nguyen et al., 2024). In this section, we discuss how e-retailers segment their customer base and develop targeting strategies, highlighting contemporary research insights on segmentation criteria and methods in the digital environment. Key themes include demographic and generational segmentation, behavioral segmentation using online data, psychographic segmentation (e.g., by motivations or lifestyle), and the rise of omni-channel and micro-segmentation enabled by big data and artificial intelligence.

Demographic and generational segmentation: Many e-retailers begin by segmenting customers on traditional demographic variables (age, gender, income, location), which often correlate with different online shopping behaviors. For instance, younger consumers (such as millennials and Gen Z) who have grown up with digital technology form a distinct segment of digital natives with high comfort in e-commerce and social media

shopping (Dharmesti et al., 2019). These consumers tend to respond well to visually driven marketing (like Instagram ads), expect seamless mobile experiences, and are more open to novel shopping formats (live streams, social commerce) compared to older cohorts (Dharmesti et al., 2019; Hyun et al., 2022). Older consumers, while increasingly engaging in online shopping, may prioritize website simplicity, credible security assurances, and customer service support more heavily (Hernández et al., 2011). A study on online shopping behavior across age groups found that factors such as ease of navigation and clear return policies were especially critical in driving intention for older adults, whereas younger shoppers placed relatively more emphasis on peer reviews and convenience features (Hernández et al., 2011). Income level can also delineate segments; higher-income shoppers might be less sensitive to shipping fees or price, focusing instead on premium service and product quality, whereas more price-sensitive segments respond strongly to promotions and free shipping offers (Grewal et al., 2017; Gawor & Hoberg, 2019). Gender differences have been observed as well; for example, some research suggests female online shoppers tend to spend more time in the information search stage (Seock & Bailey, 2008; Kol & Levy, 2023) and value social interaction (like reading reviews or sharing products) (Bae & Lee, 2011; Zhang et al., 2014), whereas male shoppers may be more goal-oriented, emphasizing efficiency and functionality (Richard et al., 2010). E-retailers often use these insights to target communications appropriately – e.g., marketing fashion and lifestyle products on platforms and with content that resonate with women’s information preferences or highlighting technical specifications and deals in marketing to men for electronics. Generational segmentation is particularly salient: millennials and Gen Z might be targeted with influencer partnerships and social commerce campaigns, while baby boomers might be targeted via search engine marketing emphasizing trust (Dharmesti et al., 2019; Roggeveen & Sethuraman, 2020). By recognizing that different demographic segments have distinct needs and online behaviors, marketers can customize the user experience and promotional messages for each group. For example, an e-retailer might offer a senior-friendly interface option with larger text and explicit security badges to build trust for older users, while simultaneously deploying interactive social shopping features (like friend referral discounts or TikTok challenges) to engage younger segments. Such segmentation-driven tailoring has been shown to enhance engagement and conversion across diverse customer groups (Hernández et al., 2011; Dharmesti et al., 2019).

Behavioral segmentation in e-retail: Beyond demographics, online retailers increasingly segment customers based on their actual behaviors and

interactions with the platform. Every click, search query, page view, and purchase provides data that can be mined to identify patterns and group customers with similar habits. Common behavioral segmentation criteria in e-retail include purchase frequency, recency and monetary value (the classic RFM model), product category preferences, browsing duration, responsiveness to promotions, and channel usage (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). For instance, analysis of purchase frequency and spending can reveal a segment of high-value “loyalists” who buy often and spend much, as well as a segment of infrequent bargain-seekers who only purchase during sales. Marketing strategies can then be aligned accordingly: loyalists might receive loyalty rewards or early access to new products, whereas deal-seekers might be targeted with timely coupon codes or re-engagement offers (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). E-retail datasets have enabled more granular segmentation using clustering algorithms and machine learning. For example, an online retailer can apply K-means or hierarchical clustering (Alves Gomes & Meisen, 2023) on variables like average order value, types of products purchased, time of purchase (Christy et al., 2021; Alves Gomes & Meisen, 2023), and customer service interactions (Suh, 2025) to discover natural groupings in the customer base (Böttcher et al., 2009; Alves Gomes & Meisen, 2023). One cluster might emerge as “tech-savvy impulse buyers” who make spontaneous gadget purchases at night, while another cluster might be “research-intensive planners” who compare extensively and purchase home goods after reading many reviews. Identifying such segments allows for personalized targeting: the impulse buyers can be targeted with flash sales and push notifications (“Act now! Limited time offer”), whereas the careful planners could be nurtured with rich content (detailed product guides, comparison tools, and email newsletters highlighting product benefits) (Chopdar et al., 2022; Ghosh, 2024). Another powerful behavioral segmentation approach in e-commerce is based on customer lifetime value (CLV) – grouping customers by their predicted long-term value to prioritize marketing resources. Customers with high CLV (often a small fraction of the base) may be placed in a VIP segment receiving white-glove service and exclusive discounts, since retaining them yields disproportionate revenue, whereas lower-CLV segments may be engaged through cost-effective, automated campaigns (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). Additionally, segmentation by engagement level (such as “active browsers” who visit the site frequently vs. “dormant” customers who have not visited in months) informs re-targeting efforts. Active browsers can be converted with timely cart reminders or new arrivals updates, whereas dormant users might need win-back incentives or surveys to understand their silence. The digital nature of e-retail makes such

micro-segmentation feasible, often leading to the concept of the “segment of one” in personalization: using algorithms, marketers can target individuals uniquely based on their personal behavior profile, effectively treating each customer as a micro-segment (Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Ameen et al., 2021). This level of targeting – exemplified by personalized product recommendations, tailored homepage content, and individualized email offers – has been shown to improve conversion and customer satisfaction, as consumers receive more relevant and timely marketing stimuli (Ameen et al., 2021; Erkan & Evans, 2016). Behavioral segmentation, in summary, leverages the rich data footprint of online shoppers to classify and target them in ways traditional retail could not, thereby driving more effective marketing in e-commerce.

Psychographic and motivational segmentation: Online retailers also segment customers based on deeper psychographic factors such as lifestyle, values, personality, or shopping orientation. Classic research by Rohm and Swaminathan (2004) identified a typology of online shoppers based on their shopping motivations: some consumers are primarily “convenience shoppers” who value ease and time-saving; others are “variety seekers” who enjoy browsing and novelty; a segment of “balanced buyers” seeks both convenience and comprehensive information; and another segment might be “store-oriented” shoppers who use online channels mainly for research but prefer in-store purchases (Rohm & Swaminathan, 2004). Understanding which motivation dominates a segment can help e-retailers tailor their value proposition. For example, convenience-oriented shoppers should be targeted with messages about fast shipping, easy returns, and 24/7 availability (which address their primary motive), whereas variety seekers might be engaged with frequent new product launches, flash sales of novel items, or editorial content about trends to satisfy their exploratory nature. In recent years, researchers have also explored psychographic segmentation in terms of consumer decision-making styles and traits. One study segmented young adults by decision-making style (e.g., quality-focused perfectionists, brand-conscious consumers, price-sensitive consumers, impulsive shoppers), revealing distinct segments even within a demographic group (Bakewell & Mitchell, 2003). In an online context, targeting messages can be tailored to these styles: a quality-focused segment will respond to assurances of product excellence and warranty, a price-sensitive segment to discounts and price comparisons, and an impulsive segment to scarcity cues and visually appealing triggers. Another relevant psychographic dimension is consumers’ need for uniqueness versus conformity. Das et al. (2021) demonstrated in the luxury context that there are “bandwagon” consumers who are influenced by

popularity and trends, and “snob” consumers who deliberately seek unique, less mainstream products. Such a segmentation implies divergent targeting strategies: bandwagon shoppers can be swayed by highlighting bestsellers and customer favorites (leveraging social proof), whereas the uniqueness-seekers might respond better to personalized recommendations of niche items or limited-edition releases that set them apart (Das et al., 2021). E-retailers increasingly use AI-driven analytics on social media and browsing data to infer psychographic attributes – for instance, inferring if a customer is eco-conscious, trend-driven, or value-driven – and then segment audiences for targeted advertising. A social-media-savvy, trend-driven segment may be targeted with influencer partnerships and viral content, while an eco-conscious segment could be targeted with messages about sustainability and ethical sourcing (Alalwan, 2018; Ameen et al., 2021). By aligning marketing tactics with the underlying motivations and values of each segment, companies can connect with consumers on a more meaningful level, thereby improving engagement and conversion. Indeed, personalization at this psychographic level is a key source of competitive advantage in online retail: companies that can accurately segment by mindset and preference can cultivate stronger customer relationships by showing they “get” their customers’ identities and preferences (Ameen et al., 2021; Hajli, 2015).

Omni-channel and data-driven targeting: The rise of omni-channel retailing, where consumers fluidly use both online and offline channels, has introduced new segmentation considerations. Some consumers are true omni-channel shoppers – researching online, buying in store, or vice versa – whereas others may stick primarily to one channel. Recent research has focused on omni-channel customer segmentation, combining data from in-store and online interactions to profile segments based on their channel preferences and switching behavior (Verhoef et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2024). Nguyen et al. (2024) segment omni-channel consumers by their usage patterns and values, identifying profiles such as “extensive omni-channel users” who frequently switch between online and physical touchpoints, versus “single-channel loyalists” who prefer one channel for most purchases. Understanding these segments allows retailers to target appropriately: extensive omni-channel users might be targeted with integrated promotions (e.g., buy online, pick-up in store incentives, or consistent messaging across app, web, and physical store), while single-channel users can be targeted within their preferred channel (for instance, a customer who mostly shops online might receive app-only deals to deepen online engagement, whereas a store-focused segment might be enticed to try the website via an exclusive online coupon) (Nguyen et al., 2024; Roggeveen & Sethuraman, 2020). Additionally,

geographic segmentation intersects with omni-channel behavior – retailers use location data to target customers with local store information or region-specific online promotions, blending the online and offline experience. Data-driven approaches enable continuously refined segmentation: machine learning models can dynamically update customer segments as behavior evolves. For example, if a previously low-engagement customer suddenly increases browsing and clicks on high-end products, algorithms might reassign them to a different segment (perhaps moving from a “dormant” to a “re-engaged aspirational” segment), triggering a tailored outreach like a personalized email acknowledging their renewed interest in certain products (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). The concept of real-time personalization is essentially micro-targeting at the individual level using segment-like rules: showing different homepage banners based on whether the visitor is new or returning, or recommending products based on the visitor’s immediate navigation path (Ameen et al., 2021; Erkan & Evans, 2016). E-retail giants leverage vast customer data and predictive analytics to perform A/B tests and multi-armed bandit algorithms that effectively treat each segment (or even each customer) with an optimal targeting strategy. For instance, Amazon’s recommendation engine segments customers implicitly by similarities in purchase history and browsing behavior (a form of collaborative filtering segmentation) and then targets each segment with “Customers like you also bought...” suggestions. This level of granular targeting has proven to significantly increase conversion rates and basket sizes, embodying the power of segmentation in e-retail practice (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). In conclusion, segmentation and targeting in online retail are far more data-intensive and personalized than in traditional retail. Marketers combine classical segmentation bases (demographics, motivations) with rich behavioral data and AI methods to identify who their customers are, what they want, and how best to reach them. By deploying targeted strategies – from differentiated advertising messages to personalized product recommendations and tailored promotions – e-retailers can enhance customer relevance and engagement, thereby driving higher conversion and loyalty (Rohm & Swaminathan, 2004; Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Nguyen et al., 2024). The ultimate vision of e-retail targeting is to anticipate each segment’s needs and deliver the right marketing touch at the right time through the right channel, maximizing both customer satisfaction and business performance.

5. Conclusion

Consumer behavior in online retail environments is a complex interplay of cognitive, emotional, and social processes, all occurring within a technology-

mediated context. This chapter has explored how consumers make decisions online, what factors shape their purchase intentions, and how marketers can segment and target digital consumers effectively. Several overarching themes emerge from the discussion. First, the consumer decision journey online is dynamic and non-linear, characterized by easy access to information and instantaneous opportunities for action. Traditional decision-making models have been upended by the digital revolution: consumers can move from initial awareness to purchase in a matter of minutes, especially when persuasive triggers like personalized recommendations or flash sales are present (Sharma et al., 2023; Schweidel et al., 2022). They also continuously integrate the experiences and feedback of others into their choices, as evidenced by the powerful role of online reviews and social media in guiding decisions (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; Erkan & Evans, 2016). Marketers must therefore adopt a customer-centric, agile approach – mapping out multiple touchpoints and ensuring a seamless, reassuring experience at each – to effectively guide consumers through the online journey (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016).

Second, understanding key drivers of online purchase intention is crucial for e-retail success. Trust emerges as a non-negotiable foundation: without consumer trust in the seller and the transaction process, even the best-value offerings will not convert into sales (Jarvenpaa et al., 2000; Handoyo, 2024). Moreover, empirical evidence suggests that consumers' perceived financial, social, and performance risks significantly influence these trust judgments and ultimately their attitudes toward online brands (Arslan, Geçti, & Zengin, 2013). Therefore, e-retailers need to invest in trust-building mechanisms, from robust cybersecurity and transparent policies to cultivating positive eWOM and brand reputation. Reducing perceived risk – through tactics such as free returns, money-back guarantees, and displaying authentic customer feedback – can substantially improve consumers' confidence to buy (Bianchi & Andrews, 2012; Fortes & Rita, 2016). Alongside trust, the other factors discussed (usability, value, social influence, personal relevance) collectively inform a consumer's decision. The evidence clearly indicates that a holistic optimization is required such as a user-friendly, informative, and enjoyable website will facilitate decision-making; valuable deals and enjoyable shopping experiences will motivate purchases; and social proof will validate consumers' choices (Ahn et al., 2007; Hyun et al., 2022; King et al., 2014). E-retail managers should continuously monitor these factors – for example, tracking site analytics for friction points, soliciting customer feedback about concerns, and monitoring online sentiment – to proactively address any barriers to purchase. The competitive nature of online retail

means that consumers have abundant alternatives at their fingertips, so any weakness in the value proposition or user experience can quickly lead to lost sales. On the flip side, retailers who excel in delivering trust, value, and personalization are rewarded with higher conversion rates and stronger customer loyalty (Chiu et al., 2014; Gawor & Hoberg, 2019).

Third, the digital environment enables advanced segmentation and personalized targeting, which are essential for catering to heterogeneous consumer needs. Online retailers now operate with a wealth of customer data and analytical tools, allowing them to move beyond broad-brush segmentation to micro-targeted marketing strategies (Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Ameen et al., 2021). By recognizing and addressing the distinct preferences of different segments – whether it be a cohort of deal-seekers drawn by promotions, a segment of tech enthusiasts eager for the latest features, or an omni-channel segment expecting seamless integration of online and offline services – companies can craft more relevant and persuasive marketing tactics (Rohm & Swaminathan, 2004; Nguyen et al., 2024). The importance of consistency and personalization in targeting cannot be overstated. Consumers increasingly expect brands to know them and to communicate offerings that match their individual interests and shopping history. Failure to do so can result in disengagement, as generic or untargeted messaging is often ignored in today's information-rich world. Research shows that personalization can significantly improve click-through and purchase likelihood, but it must be done thoughtfully to avoid the “creepiness” factor of over-personalization (Aguirre et al., 2015). Therefore, e-retailers should leverage customer insights responsibly, aiming to add genuine value (such as recommending a product that complements a past purchase) rather than simply pushing sales. Ultimately, the ability to dynamically segment and target consumers in real time is becoming a key competitive advantage in online retail, creating a more customer-driven marketing paradigm (Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Grewal et al., 2020).

In conclusion, consumer behavior in online retail environments continues to evolve alongside technological advancements and societal changes. The recent pandemic-induced shift to e-commerce underscored how quickly consumer behavior can adapt when circumstances require – many who were once hesitant have now embraced online shopping, likely permanently integrating it into their routines (Sheth, 2020; Soares et al., 2023). As we look ahead, emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, augmented reality, and voice commerce promise to further transform online consumer experiences (Sharma et al., 2023; Ameen et al., 2021). What remains constant is the core principle that a deep understanding of consumer

behavior – from cognitive decision strategies to emotional drivers and social influences – is essential for marketers to design effective e-retail strategies. By staying attuned to consumers' needs and concerns (through continuous research and data analysis) and by employing customer-centric innovations, e-retailers can foster trust, engagement, and loyalty in the digital marketplace. In academia, the study of online consumer behavior will likewise progress, examining nuanced topics such as the ethics of personalization, the impact of consumer reviews bias, or the role of virtual communities in shaping purchase habits. In navigating these developments, the foundation laid by marketing science – emphasizing empirical evidence and consumer insight – will remain invaluable. The online retail arena may be ever-changing, but the ultimate objective endures: to satisfy consumer needs better than competitors do, thereby achieving sustained success. With meticulous attention to how consumers behave and why, marketers can continue to refine the art and science of winning customers' clicks and hearts in the digital age.

References

- Aguirre, E., Mahr, D., Grewal, D., de Ruyter, K., & Wetzels, M. (2015). Unraveling the personalization paradox: The effect of information collection and trust-building strategies on online advertisement effectiveness. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(1), 34–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2014.09.005>
- Ahn, T., Ryu, S., & Han, I. (2007). The impact of Web quality and playfulness on user acceptance of online retailing. *Information & Management*, 44(3), 263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2006.12.008>
- Akram, U., Junaid, M., Zafar, A. U., Li, Z., & Fan, M. (2021). Online purchase intention in Chinese social commerce platforms: Being emotional or rational? *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 63, 102669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102669>
- Alalwan, A. A. (2018). Investigating the impact of social media advertising features on customer purchase intention. *International Journal of Information Management*, 42, 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.06.001>
- Alves Gomes, M., & Meisen, T. (2023). A review on customer segmentation methods for personalized customer targeting in e-commerce use cases. *Information Systems and e-Business Management*, 21, 527–570. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-023-00640-4>
- Ameen, N., Tarhini, A., Reppel, A. E., & Anand, A. (2021). Customer experiences in the age of artificial intelligence. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 114, 106548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106548>
- Amos, C., Holmes, G. R., & Keneson, W. C. (2014). A meta-analysis of consumer impulse buying. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21(2), 86–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2013.11.004>
- Arslan, Y., Geçti, F., & Zengin, H. (2013). Examining perceived risk and its influence on attitudes: A study on private label consumers in Turkey. *Asian Social Science*, 9(4), 158. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v9n4p158>
- Awad, N. E., & Ragowsky, A. (2008). Establishing trust in electronic commerce through online word of mouth: An examination across genders. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(4), 101–121. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MIS0742-1222240404>
- Bae, S., & Lee, T. (2011). Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviews. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 11(2), 201–214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-010-9072-y>
- Bakewell, C., & Mitchell, V.-W. (2003). Generation Y female consumer decision-making styles. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 31(2), 95–106. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550310461994>

- Bartels, J., & Reinders, M. J. (2011). Consumer innovativeness and its correlates: A propositional inventory for future research. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(6), 601–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2010.05.002>
- Bauman, A., & Bachmann, R. (2017). Online consumer trust: Trends in research. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, 12(2), 68–79. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242017000200008>
- Baytar, F., Chung, T., & Shin, E. (2020). Evaluating garments in augmented reality when shopping online. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 24(4), 667–683. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-05-2018-0077>
- Bianchi, C., & Andrews, L. (2012). Risk, trust, and consumer online purchasing behaviour: A Chilean perspective. *International Marketing Review*, 29(3), 253–275. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02651331211229750>
- Böttcher, M., Spott, M., Nauck, D., & Kruse, R. (2009). Mining changing customer segments in dynamic markets. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(1), 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.09.006>
- Chevalier, J. A., & Mayzlin, D. (2006). The effect of word of mouth on sales: Online book reviews. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 43(3), 345–354. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.43.3.345>
- Chiu, C. M., Wang, E. T. G., Fang, Y. H., & Huang, H. Y. (2014). Understanding customers' repeat purchase intentions in B2C e-commerce: The roles of utilitarian value, hedonic value and perceived risk. *Information Systems Journal*, 24(1), 85–114. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2575.2012.00407.x>
- Chopdar, P. K., Paul, J., Korfiatis, N., & Lytras, M. D. (2022). Examining the role of consumer impulsiveness in multiple app usage behaviour among mobile shoppers. *Journal of Business Research*, 140, 657–669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.031>
- Christy, A. J., Umamakeswari, A., Priyatharsini, L., & Neyaa, A. (2021). RFM ranking – An effective approach to customer segmentation. *Journal of King Saud University – Computer and Information Sciences*, 33(10), 1251–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.09.004>
- Cialdini, R. B., & Goldstein, N. J. (2004). Social influence: Compliance and conformity. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55(1), 591–621. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.142015>
- Çelik, H. E., & Yılmaz, V. (2011). Extending the Technology Acceptance Model for adoption of e-shopping by consumers in Turkey. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*, 12(2), 152–164.
- Das, M., Habib, M., Saha, V., & Jebarajakirthy, C. (2021). Bandwagon vs. snob luxuries: Targeting consumers based on uniqueness dominance. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 61, 102582. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102582>

- Dharmesti, M., Dharmesti, T. R. S., Kuhne, S., & Thaichon, P. (2019). Understanding online shopping behaviours and purchase intentions amongst millennials. *Young Consumers*, 22(1), 152–167. <https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-12-2018-0922>
- Dwidienawati, D., Tjahjana, D., Abdinagoro, S. B., Gandasari, D., & Munawaroh. (2020). Customer review or influencer endorsement: Which one influences purchase intention more? *Heliyon*, 6(11), e05543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05543>
- Erkan, I., & Evans, C. (2016). Social media or shopping websites? The influence of eWOM on consumers' online purchase intentions. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 24(6), 617–632. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2016.1184706>
- Featherman, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Predicting e-services adoption: A perceived risk facets perspective. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59(4), 451–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819\(03\)00111-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00111-3)
- Fortes, N., & Rita, P. (2016). Privacy concerns and online purchasing behaviour: Towards an integrated model. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 22(3), 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iedeen.2016.04.002>
- Ganguly, B., Dash, S. B., Cyr, D., & Head, M. (2010). The effects of website design on purchase intention in online shopping: The mediating role of trust and the moderating role of culture. *International Journal of Electronic Business*, 8(4), 302–330. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEB.2010.035289>
- Gawor, T., & Hoberg, K. (2019). Customers' valuation of time and convenience in e-fulfillment. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 49(1), 75–98. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-09-2017-0275>
- Ghosh, M. (2024). Meta-analytic review of online purchase intention: Conceptualising the study variables. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2296686. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2296686>
- Grewal, D., Roggeveen, A. L., & Nordfält, J. (2017). The future of retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2016.11.003>
- Grewal, D., Hulland, J., Kopalle, P. K., & Karahanna, E. (2020). The future of technology and marketing: A multidisciplinary perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00696-0>
- Hajli, N. (2015). Social commerce constructs and consumer's intention to buy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 35(2), 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2014.12.005>

- Handoyo, S. (2024). Purchasing in the digital age: A meta-analytical perspective on trust, risk, security, and e-WOM in e-commerce. *Heliyon*, *10*(8), e29714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29714>
- Hernández, B., Jiménez, J., & Martín, M. J. (2011). Age, gender and income: Do they really moderate online shopping behaviour? *Online Information Review*, *35*(1), 113–133. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14684521111113614>
- Hsu, C. L., Lin, J. C. C., & Chiang, H. S. (2013). The effects of blogger recommendations on customers' online shopping intentions. *Internet Research*, *23*(1), 69–88. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10662241311295782>
- Hyun, H., Thavisay, T., & Lee, S. H. (2022). Enhancing the role of flow experience in social media usage and its impact on shopping. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *65*, 102492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102492>
- Jarvenpaa, S. L., Tractinsky, N., & Vitale, M. (2000). Consumer trust in an internet store. *Information Technology and Management*, *1*(1–2), 45–71. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019104520776>
- King, R. A., Racherla, P., & Bush, V. D. (2014). What we know and don't know about online word-of-mouth: A review and synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, *28*(3), 167–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2014.02.004>
- Kol, O., & Levy, S. (2023). Men on a mission, women on a journey—Gender differences in consumer information search behavior via SNS: The perceived value perspective. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *75*, 103476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103476>
- Kurnaz, A., & Duman, O. (2021). The effect of electronic word-of-mouth communication (e-WOM) on the conspicuous and materialist consumption: Research on Generation Z. *International Journal of Business and Management*, *16*(5), 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v16n5p103>
- Kutlu, E. (2024). Tüketici Davranışları ve Perakendecilik [Consumer behavior and retailing]. In Ö. S. Aykaç & A. Yılmaz (Eds.) *Pazarlama ve Perakendecilik: Dijital Çağın Dönüşümleri*. (pp. 51-78). Özgür Yayınları.
- Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, *80*(6), 69–96. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0420>
- Nguyen, A. T. V., McClelland, R., & Nguyen, H. T. (2024). Omni-channel customer segmentation: A personalized customer journey perspective. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, *23*(6), 3253–3275. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2401>
- Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. *International Jour-*

- nal of Electronic Commerce*, 7(3), 101–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10864415.2003.11044275>
- Peinkofer, S. T., Esper, T. L., & Howlett, E. (2016). Hurry! Sale ends soon: The impact of limited inventory availability disclosure on consumer responses to online stockouts. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 37(3), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12136>
- Richard, M.-O., Chebat, J.-C., Yang, Z., & Putrevu, S. (2010). A proposed model of online consumer behavior: Assessing the role of gender. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(9–10), 926–934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.02.027>
- Roggeveen, A. L., & Sethuraman, R. (2020). How the COVID-19 pandemic may change the world of retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 96(2), 169–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2020.04.002>
- Rohm, A. J., & Swaminathan, V. (2004). A typology of online shoppers based on shopping motivations. *Journal of Business Research*, 57(7), 748–757. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(02\)00351-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(02)00351-X)
- Schweidel, D. A., Bart, Y., Inman, J. J., Stephen, A. T., Libai, B., Andrews, M., Babić Rosario, A., Chae, I., Chen, Z., Kupor, D., Longoni, C., & Thomaz, F. (2022). How consumer digital signals are reshaping the customer journey. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 50(6), 1257–1276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-022-00839-w>
- Seock, Y.-K., & Bailey, L. R. (2008). The influence of college students' shopping orientations and gender differences on online information searches and purchase behaviours. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 32(2), 113–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2007.00647.x>
- Sharma, P., Ueno, A., Dennis, C., & Turan, C. P. (2023). Emerging digital technologies and consumer decision-making in retail sector: Towards an integrative conceptual framework. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 148, 107913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.107913>
- Sheth, J. (2020). Impact of Covid-19 on consumer behavior: Will the old habits return or die? *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 280–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.059>
- Soares, J. C., Limongi, R., de Sousa Júnior, J. H., Santos, W. S., Raasch, M., & Hoeckesfeld, L. (2023). Assessing the effects of COVID-19-related risk on online shopping behavior. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 11(1), 82–94. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-022-00156-9>
- Suh, Y. (2025). Discovering customer segments through interaction behaviors for home appliance business. *Journal of Big Data*, 12, 57. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-025-01111-y>
- Truong, D., & Truong, M. D. (2022). How do customers change their purchasing behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic? *Journal of Re-*

- tailing and Consumer Services*, 67, 102963. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.102963>
- Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P. K., & Inman, J. J. (2015). From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(2), 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2015.02.005>
- Vodinalı, S. (2025). *Instagram anneleri: Yeni medyada tüketim kültürü ve marka etkileşimi* [Instagram mothers: Consumption culture and brand interaction in new media]. Akademisyen Kitabevi A.Ş. <https://doi.org/10.37609/akya.3531>
- Wedel, M., & Kannan, P. K. (2016). Marketing analytics for data-rich environments. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 97–121. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0413>
- Yadav, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2014). Marketing in computer-mediated environments: Research synthesis and new directions. *Journal of Marketing*, 78(1), 20–40. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.12.0020>
- Yıldırım, E., & Türkmen Barutçu, M. (2016). How uncertainty avoidance, power distance and indulgence affect social commerce expenditure? An investigation based on Facebook. *International Journal of Science Culture and Sport*, 4(4), 403–421.
- Zhang, K. Z. K., Cheung, C. M. K., & Lee, M. K. O. (2014). Examining the moderating effect of inconsistent reviews and its gender differences on consumers' online shopping decision. *International Journal of Information Management*, 34(2), 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2013.12.001>

Dr. Ömer Sezai Aykaç is currently working as an Assistant Professor at Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Sakarya Vocational School, and has been holding the position of Vice Coordinator in the Quality Coordination Office of the same university since 2024. He completed his undergraduate studies in 2012 at Sakarya University, Faculty of Business Administration, Department of Business Administration. Between 2013 and 2014, he worked in the “International Factoring – Operations Department” at Finans Faktoring Hizmetleri A.Ş. He completed his Master’s degree in 2015 at Sakarya University, Institute of Social Sciences, with his thesis titled “The Effect of Consumer Ethnocentrism on Consumer Sentiment Toward Marketing: Sakarya University Example.” Dr. Aykaç completed his Ph.D. in 2024 at Sakarya University, Graduate School of Business, with his thesis titled “A Scale Development Study on Post-Purchase Decision Procrastination.”