

## Sustainability and Ethical Practices in Digital Retailing

Aykut Yılmaz<sup>1</sup>

Gökhan Gürler<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

This chapter examines how sustainability and ethics are being embedded across the digital retail value chain—from upstream sourcing and logistics to downstream communication and brand governance. We synthesize evidence showing that environmental and social initiatives deliver competitive advantage when they are authentic, measurable, and communicated with humility rather than hype, as consumers increasingly penalize greenwashing in fast-moving online arenas. We detail operations-side levers (eco-friendly packaging, circular models, and low-carbon last-mile solutions) and their dual role in cost efficiency and emissions reduction, alongside supply-side commitments such as fair trade and transparency that extend brand values beyond the point of sale. On the demand side, we analyze how perceptions of sincerity, consistent progress, and credible proof points translate into loyalty and advocacy, and why quality signaling must accompany ethical claims to overcome perceived trade-offs. Looking ahead, we outline an agenda that integrates net-zero goals, circular retail, data ethics, and community impact—arguing that the most resilient online retailers will treat sustainability not as an add-on, but as a strategic operating system. The chapter contributes a cohesive framework linking operational innovations, ethical sourcing, and green marketing to durable consumer trust and market performance.

---

1 Assoc. Prof., Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Sakarya Vocational School  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8076-0349>, [aykut@subu.edu.tr](mailto:aykut@subu.edu.tr)

2 Assoc. Prof., Sakarya University, Sakarya Business School  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7137-2061>, [ggurler@sakarya.edu.tr](mailto:ggurler@sakarya.edu.tr)

## 1. Introduction

Digital retailing has experienced exponential growth over the past decade, transforming how consumers shop across the globe. Alongside this expansion, there is increasing recognition that e-commerce must address its environmental and social impacts as part of a sustainable business strategy (Vadakkepatt et al., 2021). Sustainability in this context refers to balancing economic success with environmental stewardship and social responsibility – a notion often encapsulated by the “triple bottom line” of people, planet, and profit (Elkington, 1998). Ethical practices in digital retailing likewise pertain to fair and responsible business conduct, including humane labor conditions, fair trade sourcing, and honest marketing. Both sustainability and ethics have shifted from peripheral concerns to central strategic issues for online retailers, driven by growing stakeholder pressure and market forces.

Consumers today are more environmentally and socially conscious, expecting brands to align with their values. Surveys indicate that a strong majority of global consumers prefer to buy from companies with sustainable and ethical reputations – for example, 66% of respondents in a Nielsen study stated willingness to pay more for brands committed to positive social and environmental impact (Nielsen, 2015). More recent analyses confirm that this is not a passing trend but a rising demand: younger generations, in particular, prioritize sustainability in purchase decisions and exhibit higher sensitivity to corporate ethical behavior (Naderi & Van Steenburg, 2018; IBM, 2020). Such consumer expectations create both an imperative and an opportunity for digital retailers to integrate sustainability into their core strategy. Indeed, research has found that firms implementing “green” or ethical initiatives can reap performance benefits in terms of customer loyalty and brand equity when these efforts are perceived as genuine (Leonidou et al., 2013; Gupta & Pirsch, 2008). On the other hand, failure to address sustainability can pose reputational risks and erode trust, especially in an era of information transparency where unsustainable practices are quickly exposed (Hartmann & Moeller, 2014; Dinçer et al. 2021).

In academic and practical discourse, terms like “sustainable retailing” have emerged to denote retailers’ attempts to reduce their environmental footprint while enhancing social good (Vadakkepatt et al., 2021). Sustainable retailing involves initiatives spanning a retailer’s operations – from eco-friendly product development and responsible sourcing to green logistics and marketing communications that honestly reflect these values (Elg & Welinder, 2022). Ethical practices overlap and reinforce these efforts, ensuring that the pursuit of profits in digital commerce does not come

at the cost of exploiting workers or misleading consumers. Collectively, sustainability and ethics in online retail are now seen as integral to corporate social responsibility strategies and essential for long-term success (Sheth et al., 2011; Carter & Rogers, 2008). In fact, marketing thought leaders argue that embracing sustainability is a modern imperative for the marketing function itself, requiring a reinvention of traditional practices to meet ecological and societal challenges (Kotler, 2011).

This chapter examines sustainability and ethical practices in digital retailing from a marketing science perspective. The discussion is structured into four main sections. First, Sustainable Packaging and Logistics explores how online retailers are addressing the environmental impacts of e-commerce operations, such as packaging waste and carbon emissions in delivery, through innovative practices. The second section examines ethical sourcing and fair-trade supply chains, detailing how online retailers operationalize responsible sourcing—setting supplier codes of conduct, auditing labor conditions, tracing inputs to farm or factory level, and participating in fair-trade consortia. The third section turns to green marketing and consumer perceptions, analyzing how firms communicate goals, disclose progress credibly, and avoid the pitfalls of greenwashing; it also explains why consumers reward specific, verifiable claims and penalize vague or exaggerated ones. The conclusion integrates these threads and outlines a practical route for embedding sustainability and ethics at the core of digital retail strategy. By drawing on contemporary research and illustrative examples, the chapter argues that sustainability and ethics are not only moral imperatives but also levers of consumer trust, brand differentiation, and resilience in the evolving digital marketplace (White et al., 2019; Hainmueller et al., 2015). Ultimately, truly sustainable and ethical digital retailing requires holistic alignment—environmental responsibility, social equity, and marketing practice working in concert to create value for both business and society.

## **2. Sustainable Packaging and Logistics**

One of the most visible sustainability challenges in digital retail is the surge in packaging and shipping linked to e-commerce fulfillment, where item-level orders often necessitate protective materials, dunnage, and individualized parcels (Escursell et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2022). Online purchases typically require standalone packaging and time-compressed delivery windows; practices such as split shipments, expedited options, and high return rates intensify handling and transport activity—especially in last-mile urban logistics—thereby risking substantial waste generation and additional emissions unless carefully managed (Muñoz-Villamizar et

al., 2021; Jaller et al., 2023; Kiba-Janiak et al., 2021). Consistent with this pattern, research documents that the growth of e-commerce has been associated with a marked rise in global packaging waste (Escursell et al., 2021). For example, a recent study in South Korea estimates that, for the same basket of goods, online shopping generates roughly 4.8 times as much packaging waste as traditional offline retail (Kim et al., 2022). This gap is driven by the widespread use of shipping cartons, plastic void fillers, and single-use wrapping for itemized parcels. Packaging is now estimated to account for nearly half of global plastic waste by volume. Taken together, these figures highlight the mounting pressure e-commerce places on municipal waste systems worldwide, as local authorities contend with surging inflows of cardboard, paper, and plastics from parcel deliveries (Pinos et al., 2022). Recycling and recovery rates for e-commerce packaging remain troublingly low across many markets. In China, for example, fewer than 20% of materials from express-delivery packaging are recovered, so the vast majority ends up in landfills or is incinerated (Fan et al., 2017). This unsustainable pattern has prompted industry actors to rethink both packaging design and end-of-life pathways in online retail—prioritizing source reduction, mono-material formats that are easier to sort, lightweighting, reusable mailers, and clearer take-back or curbside guidance to raise actual recovery.

To mitigate the environmental footprint of packaging, digital retailers are adopting more sustainable packaging solutions and logistics practices. A key approach is to develop eco-friendly materials and right-sized, mono-material designs that reduce excess, improve recyclability, and minimize waste across the fulfillment process. Companies are increasingly using recyclable or biodegradable materials for shipping containers and void fill, in place of conventional plastics. Advances in biomaterials offer promising alternatives – for example, biodegradable plastics and compostable mailers that can break down with significantly less pollution (Flury & Narayan, 2021). Researchers emphasize that incorporating such green packaging innovations is an integral strategy to reduce pollution from e-commerce (You et al., 2021). Additionally, many retailers have optimized package sizing and eliminated excessive filler to minimize material use. “Right-sizing” packaging not only reduces waste but also lowers shipping volume and weight, indirectly cutting transportation emissions (Escursell et al., 2021). Another emerging practice is reusable packaging systems, wherein durable containers are used for multiple deliveries in a loop, reducing single-use waste. Pilot programs by some e-commerce firms allow customers to return empty packaging for reuse, an approach aligned with circular economy principles (Pinos et al., 2022). While reusable packaging adoption faces logistical hurdles, studies

indicate it can be viable in competitive markets with environmentally conscious consumers, ultimately shrinking the waste stream. Taken together, these initiatives in sustainable packaging demonstrate proactive steps by digital retailers to address one of their most pressing environmental impacts.

Logistics – particularly last-mile delivery – is the second major sustainability frontier in digital retailing. The convenience of fast home delivery has environmental costs: increased vehicle traffic, fuel consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions. Researchers have compared the carbon footprint of online versus brick-and-mortar shopping and found mixed results depending on factors like delivery density and consumer travel behavior (Van Loon et al., 2015). However, the rapid growth in e-commerce order volumes and the prevalence of “free, next-day” delivery offers have undoubtedly put pressure on urban delivery networks and the environment. One projection suggests that without intervention, urban last-mile delivery emissions could climb sharply by 2030 due to the surge in parcel demand (UNCTAD, 2024). Recognizing this, online retailers and delivery providers are exploring a range of green logistics strategies. A prominent trend is the electrification of delivery vehicles – replacing diesel vans with electric vehicles (EVs) or cargo e-bikes for urban deliveries can significantly cut carbon emissions and air pollution (González-Romero et al., 2025). Major e-retailers have begun investing in electric delivery fleets and charging infrastructure, aiming to decarbonize their logistics operations over the coming decade. In parallel, firms deploy route-optimization algorithms and dynamic delivery scheduling to boost drop-off efficiency, reducing vehicle miles traveled and idle time (Saberli et al., 2019). A complementary step is localized fulfillment via micro-distribution hubs: by placing inventory closer to demand, companies shorten routes and enable low- or zero-carbon last-mile options such as bike couriers (UNCTAD, 2024). The use of pickup points and locker networks is expanding: customers collect parcels from centralized locations, enabling delivery consolidation and fewer individual home stops. Studies indicate that click-and-collect and parcel-locker models can substantially lower per-package emissions and ease urban congestion (Buldeo Rai et al., 2019). Consumer willingness to use these options is also rising, especially when incentives or brief education explain the environmental benefits (Buldeo Rai et al., 2019).

Despite these advances, e-commerce logistics is not yet fully sustainable. A persistent trade-off pits speed and convenience against environmental optimization: rush deliveries and small-basket orders are typically less efficient and emit more per item (Van Loon et al., 2015). Retailers are testing checkout nudges—“green delivery” options such as slower, lower-carbon

shipping or bundling multiple orders into a single drop—to align convenience with conscience (Gleim et al., 2013). Marketing communication reinforces uptake when it frames these choices as added value rather than sacrifice. Ultimately, progress requires both operational innovation and consumer behavior change. At scale, last-mile measures—from EV fleets and delivery hubs to AI-driven logistics—can cut carbon without sacrificing service quality (González-Romero et al., 2025). In summary, sustainable packaging and logistics show digital retail's shift toward greener operations. By redesigning packaging, adopting circular practices, and revamping delivery, online retailers aim to cut their footprint while meeting customer expectations. These steps can mitigate environmental harm, deliver efficiency-driven cost savings, and enhance an eco-conscious brand image (Escursell et al., 2021; Vadakkepatt et al., 2021). Turning to ethical sourcing, a similar alignment of values and operations is critical on the supply side of digital retail.

### 3. Ethical Sourcing and Fair-Trade Supply Chains

Digital retail's global reach often entails multi-country, multi-tier supply chains spanning several production stages. Ensuring that these supply chains uphold ethical standards is a major concern for retailers committed to corporate responsibility. Ethical sourcing refers to the practice of obtaining materials, products, and services in a responsible manner – one that respects human rights, labor standards, and environmental sustainability at all tiers of the supply chain (Carter & Jennings, 2002; Yawar & Seuring, 2017). For online retailers, ethical sourcing has become both a moral obligation and a key aspect of brand integrity. High-profile scandals and tragedies in manufacturing (such as factory collapses or use of child labor) have underscored that consumers and stakeholders increasingly hold brands accountable for the conduct of their suppliers (Hartmann & Moeller, 2014). In what has been termed “*chain liability*”, retailers can face serious backlash and reputational damage if unethical practices are found in their supply networks, even if those practices occur several tiers removed from the retailer itself (Hartmann & Moeller, 2014). Consequently, many e-commerce companies are investing in greater supply chain transparency and ethical oversight to ensure that the products they sell are produced under fair and safe conditions.

A cornerstone of ethical sourcing in retail is the adoption of supplier codes of conduct and auditing programs. Retailers commonly establish standards for labor rights (no forced or child labor, fair wages, reasonable working hours, safe conditions) and environmental practices, which their vendors and factories must follow (Yawar & Seuring, 2017). Third-party audits and

certifications help verify compliance and build accountability across tiers. In digital retail—where suppliers are numerous and dispersed—technology is now central to monitoring. For example, blockchain-based traceability pilots track products from raw material to shelf, logging each step on an immutable ledger (Saberri et al., 2019). These innovations enhance transparency, helping retailers verify claims such as “*organic cotton*” or “*conflict-free minerals*” and quickly flag compliance breakdowns. Despite scaling hurdles, they reflect digital transformation aligning with sustainability objectives in supply chain management (Saberri et al., 2019). Consumers increasingly seek traceability; surveys indicate that most shoppers value knowing product origins and production conditions (IBM, 2020). Providing this information helps ethical retailers build trust and achieve differentiation in competitive online markets (Gupta & Pirsch, 2008).

Another significant movement in ethical sourcing is participation in Fair Trade and similar equitable supply initiatives. Fair Trade certification (overseen by organizations such as Fairtrade International) is designed to ensure that producers in developing countries receive fair prices and work under decent conditions for commodities like coffee, cocoa, tea, cotton, and handicrafts. Many digital retailers – particularly those selling grocery, apparel, or artisan goods – have incorporated fair-trade certified products into their assortments or have obtained certification for their own supply lines (Nicholls & Opal, 2005). The fair trade model not only guarantees minimum prices and social premiums for farmers and workers, but also often enforces environmental standards (shade-grown crops, restricted pesticides, etc.), thus blending ethical and sustainable criteria. From a marketing perspective, carrying the Fairtrade label or other ethical certifications serves as a credible signal to consumers concerned about social impact (Hainmueller et al., 2015). Empirical research has demonstrated that ethical labels can positively influence consumer purchasing. In a landmark field experiment, Hainmueller et al. (2015) found that sales of a fair-trade labeled coffee in US grocery stores increased significantly compared to an identical non-labeled product, even when priced at a modest premium. This suggests that a substantial segment of consumers is willing to “vote with their wallet” for ethically sourced products, validating the business case for fair trade and similar programs. Ethical sourcing can thus be a source of brand differentiation and loyalty: retailers known for responsible supply chains may attract conscientious consumers and enjoy a stronger reputation (Carrigan & De Pelsmacker, 2009). Indeed, studies indicate that robust corporate social responsibility programs, including ethical sourcing, can enhance overall retailer image and customer satisfaction (Gupta & Pirsch,

2008). Especially in the era of social media, positive stories about treating workers fairly or supporting producer communities can amplify brand goodwill and advocacy online.

However, implementing ethical sourcing is not without challenges. One well-documented issue is the so-called ethical consumption gap, wherein consumers profess strong support for ethical products but do not always follow through in actual purchase behavior (Carrington et al., 2014; Hassan et al., 2016). Price, convenience, or skepticism can deter consumers from consistently choosing the ethical option, which means retailers must carefully manage cost and communication when integrating ethics into their assortments (Carrington et al., 2014). Additionally, policing an entire supply chain is complex and costly. There have been instances where companies with stated commitments to ethical sourcing still encountered hidden labor abuses deep in their supplier network, revealing the difficulties of full oversight. Retailers may need to collaborate with industry coalitions, NGOs, and local governments to effectively raise standards across regions and industries (Yawar & Seuring, 2017). Another complication is balancing audits with capacity-building: rather than simply penalizing non-compliant suppliers, leading firms work on training and improving conditions on the ground, so that ethical compliance becomes a sustained reality rather than a one-time hurdle (Seuring & Müller, 2008).

Despite these challenges, the trajectory is clearly towards greater accountability and fairness in retail supply chains. Consumers are now armed with more information and expect transparency—a dynamic particularly salient in digital commerce, where product pages often list sourcing information and social impact metrics. Forward-thinking e-retailers openly share details about their suppliers, factory audit results, and community initiatives, thereby inviting consumers into the story of how products are made. This transparency can preempt cynicism and strengthen the authenticity of ethical claims (Leonidou & Skarmas, 2017). Notably, companies that have built their brands around ethical sourcing (for example, outdoor apparel brands emphasizing fair labor and environmental stewardship) have cultivated deep loyalty and differentiation in the market. Even mass-market online retailers have begun highlighting ethical product lines, from fair-trade certified grocery items to responsibly sourced cosmetics, in response to consumer interest and as part of their corporate values. In sum, ethical sourcing and fair-trade practices in digital retailing represent a confluence of doing right and doing well: they uphold human dignity and sustainable development in producer communities, while simultaneously enhancing brand trust and meeting the expectations of a more conscientious customer base (White et



al., 2019; Hainmueller et al., 2015). By embedding ethical conduct across their supply chains, online retailers not only lower the risk of scandals and disruptions but also advance a more equitable global trading system - a narrative that resonates with contemporary consumers and employees alike.

#### 4. Green Marketing and Consumer Perceptions

Effective communication is critical, and this is where green marketing enters. Green marketing promotes products, services, or brand initiatives on their environmental or ethical attributes. The aim is to shape consumer perceptions and behavior by signaling a firm's commitment to sustainability—for example, ads that highlight eco-friendly features, social media campaigns about charitable or community initiatives, or eco-labels and badges on product listings. Green marketing has shifted from a niche tactic to a mainstream strategy as more retailers court the “green consumer” segment (Polonsky et al., 2012). Yet shaping perceptions requires authenticity and care: consumers are increasingly environmentally aware but also more skeptical of corporate claims, making credibility the cornerstone of effective sustainability communication (Leonidou & Skarmeas, 2017).

A key driver of perception is trust. When retailers embed sustainability in operations-and communicate it transparently-they can strengthen brand trust and loyalty. For instance, if an online apparel retailer uses organic materials and renewable energy in production, and transparently shares these facts in marketing materials, consumers with environmental values may feel a stronger affinity and trust towards the brand (Gleim et al., 2013). Research has found that consumers respond positively to sincere sustainability messaging: firms that “green” their marketing mix (product, packaging, distribution, communication) tend to see improvements in customer attitudes and even financial performance, as long as the initiatives are substantive (Leonidou et al., 2013). Green advertising can also evoke emotional engagement – one study using psychophysiological measures showed that consumers process sincere green ads with heightened emotional arousal, which can translate into better recall and favorable attitudes (Martínez-Fiestas et al., 2015). Moreover, cause-related marketing or associating the brand with social/environmental causes can help signal corporate values. Many e-commerce brands now celebrate events like Earth Day with special content or donate a portion of sales to environmental charities as part of their marketing efforts, thereby reinforcing a pro-sustainability image.

Yet, with the rise of green marketing, the phenomenon of greenwashing has also become a central concern. Greenwashing is the practice of making

exaggerated, misleading, or false claims about a product's environmental benefits, creating a deceptive impression of sustainability (Delmas & Burbano, 2011). Some firms exploit rising eco-consciousness by presenting themselves as greener than they are—for instance, using vague labels like “all-natural” without evidence or spotlighting a minor eco-friendly attribute while ignoring larger negative impacts. This dynamic has fueled consumer skepticism: savvy shoppers question whether environmental claims are genuine or merely marketing spin (Leonidou & Skarmeas, 2017). A comprehensive review catalogs multiple forms of greenwashing across industries, including hidden trade-offs—spotlighting one “green” attribute while larger harms persist—and outright false labeling (de Freitas Netto et al., 2020). The damage from greenwashing can be severe when uncovered. It erodes consumer trust not only in the offending company but can spill over to distrust toward green claims in general, undermining the efforts of genuinely sustainable businesses (Chen & Chang, 2013). Empirical evidence shows that when consumers detect greenwashing – for instance, if an online retailer's claims are contradicted by third-party information or perceived as inconsistent – their attitudes toward the brand deteriorate and purchase intent falls (Leonidou & Skarmeas, 2017; Chen & Chang, 2013). In the digital age, detecting inconsistencies has become easier: activist organizations, online reviews, and social media watchdogs readily call out companies if their practices don't match their rhetoric.

Given these stakes, transparency and proof are essential in green marketing. Successful digital retailers bolster their sustainability claims with concrete data, certifications, and storytelling that educates consumers. For example, a beauty retailer advertising “ethical sourcing” of ingredients might provide traceability information for key ingredients or display certification logos (like Fair Trade, Rainforest Alliance, or Leaping Bunny for cruelty-free) to validate those claims. Third-party certifications provide credible signals that temper consumer skepticism (Hainmueller et al., 2015). Similarly, offering lifecycle details—carbon-footprint estimates or packaging recyclability—helps consumers make informed choices and recognize the retailer's commitment (Pino et al., 2012). Some online platforms now let shoppers filter by sustainability criteria (e.g., “organic,” “recycled materials,” “B Corp certified”), embedding green marketing in the user experience and enabling values-aligned purchases. How messages are framed also matters: overly technical or moralizing tones can backfire, whereas positive, solution-oriented narratives engage more effectively (White et al., 2019). Cross-cultural research shows that aligning sustainability messages with local values—e.g., community well-being in collectivist contexts and personal

health benefits in individualist ones—improves reception (Barbarossa & De Pelsmacker, 2016). Marketers should therefore know their audiences and craft communications that are both inspiring and credible.

Social media and digital content now shape green consumer perceptions—amplifying sustainability claims, enabling peer endorsement (or pushback), and directly influencing purchase intent. In the online retail arena, brands often use social media campaigns to highlight their sustainability milestones – for instance, Instagram posts about switching to 100% recycled packaging or YouTube videos showcasing ethical factory tours (Herrada-Lores et al., 2024; Sun et al., 2022). These platforms enable a two-way dialogue: consumers can ask questions, and brands can respond in real time, which—when managed well—builds engagement and trust (Wang & Yang, 2020; Herrada-Lores et al., 2024). However, the scrutiny is also immediate—any inconsistency (say, an influencer collaboration that seems at odds with the brand’s eco-stance) can be swiftly criticized by the online community (Belanche et al., 2021; Walter et al., 2024; Chen & Chang, 2013; Lewin & Warren, 2025). Companies like Patagonia have famously leveraged social media to reinforce their authentic commitment (e.g., encouraging customers not to buy new jackets unnecessarily), thereby strengthening their credence as a truly ethical brand. In contrast, brands that simply adopt green tropes in advertising without substantive action quickly find their reputation challenged by digital sleuths. In this sense, authenticity is the currency of green marketing (White et al., 2019).

From a consumer behavior standpoint, it is encouraging that a segment of consumers is highly responsive to ethical and sustainable marketing appeals. These “green consumers” exhibit greater loyalty and advocacy for brands that align with their environmental and social values (Oliver & Lee, 2010). This pattern is consistent with evidence that identification with ethically aligned brands fosters stronger emotional attachment and participatory engagement via brand passion (Kutlu & Zengin, 2023). That said, not all consumers are equally motivated. Price and quality remain dominant factors for many, and some perceive sustainable products as potentially inferior in performance or luxury (Luchs et al., 2010). Marketers in the digital retail space strive to overcome these perceptions by improving the quality of sustainable products and communicating those functional benefits alongside ethical ones (Luchs et al., 2010). The idea is to eliminate any implied trade-off between sustainability and product excellence, so that consumers feel they are getting a superior product that also happens to be better for the world. When done successfully, this can flip a potential “sustainability liability” into a brand asset (Luchs et al., 2010).

In conclusion, green marketing in digital retailing is a delicate balancing act: companies must proactively share their sustainability story to meet consumer demand for information, but they must do so truthfully and substantively to avoid skepticism. Honest communication of both achievements and remaining challenges tends to resonate better than perfunctory self-praise (Leonidou & Skarmas, 2017). Consumer perceptions are ultimately shaped by consistency – when consumers see a retailer consistently making progress (backed by data) and behaving in line with its stated values, they develop trust and positive associations (Leonidou et al., 2013). On the other hand, any dissonance between words and actions will likely be seized upon and magnified in the digital media environment. Thus, effective green marketing must rest on genuine sustainable practices (Delmas & Burbano, 2011). When communications accurately reflect a company's ethos, they can engage consumers, steer purchase behavior toward greener options, and even encourage more sustainable lifestyles. In turn, consumer demand rewards ethical efforts, creating a virtuous cycle that nudges the market toward broader sustainability (White et al., 2019; Hainmueller et al., 2015).

## 5. Conclusion

Sustainability and ethical practice are no longer optional add-ons for digital retailers; they are core to contemporary strategy and brand identity. As outlined in this chapter, online retail firms increasingly weave environmental and social considerations through every stage of the business—from upstream sourcing to downstream marketing. This comprehensive stance is propelled by a confluence of ethical duty, stakeholder expectations, and strategic advantage. In packaging and logistics, digital retailers are innovating to cut waste and carbon, recognizing that efficiency and sustainability often align. By investing in eco-friendly packaging, embracing circular models, and transforming last-mile delivery through electrification and smarter networks, firms mitigate e-commerce's environmental externalities. These initiatives help protect the planet while streamlining operations and lowering costs over time (González-Romero et al., 2025; Escursell et al., 2021). In supply chains, the shift toward ethical sourcing and fair trade reflects a recognition that brand values must extend beyond the sale. As consumers and civil society scrutinize how and where products are made, retailers have increased transparency and strengthened labor and environmental standards in global sourcing (Yawar & Seuring, 2017; Hartmann & Moeller, 2014). The growth of fair trade and similar programs shows that more equitable supply chains are attainable and compatible with business success; ethical

credentials increasingly differentiate retailers in crowded digital marketplaces (Hainmueller et al., 2015).

Critically, the effectiveness of these sustainability and ethical endeavors hinges on trust and authenticity, which are cultivated through honest marketing and engagement. Green marketing and consumer communication serve as the bridge between a retailer's actions and the public's perception. The chapter highlighted the tightrope that companies must walk: it is essential to communicate achievements in sustainability to inform and attract consumers, yet it must be done without overstatement or deception (Leonidou & Skarmeas, 2017; Delmas & Burbano, 2011). Those retailers that succeed in this communication – providing clear evidence of progress, inviting scrutiny, and sharing stories that connect with consumers' values – tend to reap the rewards of enhanced brand loyalty and market share. By contrast, those who engage in greenwashing or superficial ethics are increasingly punished in the court of public opinion, especially given the speed at which information (and criticism) travels online (Fella & Bausa, 2024; Chen & Chang, 2013). Thus, one of the overarching lessons for digital retailing is that sincerity is strategy: genuine commitment to sustainability and ethics, backed by consistent action, is becoming a baseline expectation and a source of competitive advantage (White et al., 2019; Nielsen, 2015).

From a broader perspective, the integration of sustainability and ethics into digital retailing reflects a shift in the role of retail businesses in society. Retailers are not only economic actors but also stewards of vast supplier networks and influencers of consumer behavior. By choosing to operate responsibly and to promote more sustainable consumption patterns, online retailers can have an outsized positive impact – reducing environmental harm, improving livelihoods in their supply chains, and educating consumers. In doing so, they also future-proof their businesses: as regulations tighten around issues like packaging waste and carbon emissions, early adopters of sustainable practices will adapt more smoothly to new laws and standards (UNCTAD, 2024). Furthermore, aligning with global sustainability goals (such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals) opens opportunities for partnerships and innovation. Many retailers have found that sustainability initiatives drive innovation – for instance, developing a new recyclable packaging material can spur product innovation and patentable solutions, or optimizing logistics for carbon reduction can improve overall supply chain agility.

It is also worth noting the cultural shift internally within companies. Embracing ethical and sustainable practices tends to improve employee

morale and attractiveness as an employer (Lacy et al., 2014). Retail employees, especially younger talent, often want to work for companies that stand for more than just profit. A strong sustainability ethos can thus help retailers recruit and retain passionate employees who drive further innovation and customer service improvements, creating a reinforcing cycle of positive outcomes.

Looking ahead, the landscape of digital retail will likely be defined by even greater integration of these principles. Concepts like “net-zero” retailing (eliminating or offsetting all carbon emissions in operations) and circular retail (where products and packaging are fully recycled or reused) are gaining traction. The ethical dimension may expand with increased focus on issues such as data ethics (given the digital nature of e-commerce, handling consumer data responsibly is a growing ethical concern) and community impact (retailers investing in communities where they operate warehouses or offices). The marketing of the future will arguably involve deeper engagement – not just telling consumers about a product’s features but inviting them into a community working towards sustainability and ethical progress. When customers become co-creators of a brand’s sustainable journey (through feedback, co-innovation, or advocacy), the bond between retailer and consumer strengthens immeasurably.

In conclusion, sustainability and ethical practices in digital retailing are both a response to external demand and a forward-looking business philosophy. They require continuous effort and transparency, but the benefits – from mitigating risks to building a resilient brand – are compelling. As this chapter has shown through various facets (packaging, sourcing, marketing), the pursuit of sustainability aligns closely with the core principles of good marketing: understanding and satisfying consumer needs, building strong relationships, and creating value that endures. In the digital age, consumers need not choose between convenience and conscience. The onus is on retailers to ensure that the easy choice (shopping online) is also an ethical and sustainable choice. Those retailers that rise to this challenge will not only contribute to a better world but will likely lead the market, proving that doing good and doing well can indeed converge in the realm of online retail (Leonidou et al., 2013; Vadakkepatt et al., 2021). The evolution toward more sustainable and ethical digital retailing is still ongoing, but its momentum is unmistakable – it represents retail’s adaptation to the values of the 21st-century consumer and the needs of our shared planet. In the final analysis, embracing sustainability and ethics is not just a trend but a profound shift in retail strategy, one that will define the success and legacy of digital retailers in the years to come.

## References

- Barbarossa, C., & De Pelsmacker, P. (2016). Positive and negative antecedents of purchasing eco-friendly products: Extending the theory of planned behavior. *European Management Journal*, 34(5), 646–656. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2425-z>
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., Flavián, M., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2021). Understanding influencer marketing: The role of congruence between influencers, products and consumers. *Journal of Business Research*, 132, 186–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.02.020>
- Buldeo Rai, H. (2019). *Environmental sustainability of the last mile in omnichannel retail* [Doctoral dissertation, Vrije Universiteit Brussel].
- Carrigan, M., & De Pelsmacker, P. (2009). Will ethical consumers sustain their values in the global credit crunch? *International Marketing Review*, 26(6), 674–687. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02651330911001341>
- Carrington, M. J., Neville, B. A., & Whitwell, G. J. (2014). Lost in translation: Exploring the ethical consumer intention–behavior gap. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(1), 2759–2767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.09.022>
- Carter, C. R., & Jennings, M. M. (2002). Logistics social responsibility: An integrative framework. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 23(1), 145–180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2002.tb00020.x>
- Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360–387. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030810882816>
- Chen, Y.-S., & Chang, C.-H. (2013). Greenwash and green trust: The mediation effects of green consumer confusion and green perceived risk. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 114(3), 489–500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1360-0>
- Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 64–87. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cm.2011.54.1.64>
- de Freitas Netto, S. V., Sobral, M. F. F., Ribeiro, A. R. B., & Soares, G. R. L. (2020). Concepts and forms of greenwashing: a systematic review. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 32(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-0300-3>
- Dinçer, M. A. M., Yıldırım, E., & Arslan, Y. (2021). Do consumers really care about corporate social responsibility messages on social media? *Akademik İncelemeler Dergisi*, 16(1), 47–72. <https://doi.org/10.17550/akademikincelemeler.840643>

- Elg, U., & Welinder, A. (2022). Sustainability and retail marketing: Corporate, product and store perspectives. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102810>
- Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business. *Environmental Quality Management*, 8(1), 37–51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tqem.3310080106>
- Escursell, S., Llorach-Massana, P., & Roncero, M. B. (2021). Sustainability in e-commerce packaging: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 280, 124314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124314>
- Fan, W., Xu, M., Dong, X., & Wei, H. (2017). Considerable environmental impact of the rapid development of China's express delivery industry. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 126, 174–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.07.041>
- Fella, S., & Bausa, E. (2024). Green or greenwashed? Examining consumers' ability to identify greenwashing. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 95, 102281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2024.102281>
- Flury, M., & Narayan, R. (2021). Biodegradable plastic as an integral part of the solution to plastic waste pollution of the environment. *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 30, 100490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2021.100490>
- Gleim, M. R., Smith, J. S., Andrews, D., & Cronin, J. J. (2013). Against the Green: A multi-method examination of the barriers to green consumption. *Journal of Retailing*, 89(1), 44–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2012.10.001>
- González-Romero, I., Ortiz-Bas, Á., & Prado-Prado, J. C. (2025). Decarbonizing the last mile: Innovations from an online retailer's perspective. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 143, 104752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2025.104752>
- Gupta, S., & Pirsch, J. (2008). The influence of a retailer's corporate social responsibility program on reconceptualizing store image. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 15(6), 516–526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2008.02.003>
- Hainmueller, J., Hiscox, M. J., & Sequeira, S. (2015). Consumer demand for fair trade: Evidence from a multistore field experiment. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 97(2), 242–256. [https://doi.org/10.1162/REST\\_a\\_00467](https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00467)
- Hartmann, J., & Moeller, S. (2014). Chain liability in multi-tier supply chains? Responsibility attributions for unsustainable supplier behavior. *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(5), 281–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2014.01.005>
- Hassan, L. M., Shiu, E., & Shaw, D. (2016). Who says there is an intention–behavior gap? Assessing the empirical evidence of an ethical consumption



- gap. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 136(2), 219–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2440-0>
- Herrada-Lores, S., Palazón, M., Iniesta-Bonillo, M. Á., & Estrella-Ramón, A. (2024). The communication of sustainability on social media: The role of dialogical communication. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 19(2), 307–332. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-10-2023-0372>
- IBM Institute for Business Value. (2020). *Meet the 2020 consumers driving change: How sustainability is fundamentally changing consumer preferences*. IBM Global Business Services.
- Jaller, M., Dennis-Bauer, S., & Rivera-Royero, D. (2023). Estimating last-mile deliveries and shopping travel emissions by 2050. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 123, 103913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2023.103913>
- Kiba-Janiak, M., Marcinkowski, J., Jagoda, A., & Skowrońska, A. (2021). Sustainable last mile delivery on e-commerce market in cities from the perspective of various stakeholders: Literature review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 71, 102984. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.102984>
- Kim, Y., Kang, J., & Chun, H. (2022). Is online shopping packaging waste a threat to the environment? *Economics Letters*, 214, 110398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2022.110398>
- Kotler, P. (2011). Reinventing marketing to manage the environmental imperative. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(4), 132–135. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.132>
- Kutlu, E., & Zengin, H. (2023). Marka özdeşleşmesi ve tüketici marka katılımı ilişkisi: marka tutkusunun aracı rolü [Brand identification and consumer brand engagement relationship: the mediating role of brand passion]. *Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 24(2), 284–299. <https://doi.org/10.17494/ogusbd.1258035>
- Lacy, P., Keeble, J., McNamara, R., Rutqvist, J., Eckerle, K., Haglund, T., Budemeier, P., Cui, M., Sharma, A., Cooper, A., Senior, T., & Pettersson, C. (2014). *Circular Advantage: Innovative Business Models and Technologies to Create Value in a World without Limits to Growth*. Accenture: Chicago, IL, USA, 24.
- Leonidou, C. N., & Skarmas, D. (2017). Gray shades of green: Causes and consequences of green skepticism. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 144(2), 401–415. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2829-4>
- Leonidou, C. N., Katsikeas, C. S., & Morgan, N. A. (2013). “Greening” the marketing mix: do firms do it and does it pay off? *Journal of Marketing*, 77(4), 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-012-0317-2>
- Lewin, L. D., & Warren, D. E. (2025). Hypocrites! Social media reactions and stakeholder backlash to conflicting CSR information. *Journal of Business*

*Ethics. Advance online publication.* 196, 419–437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-024-05700-4>

- Luchs, M. G., Naylor, R. W., Irwin, J. R., & Raghunathan, R. (2010). The sustainability liability: Potential negative effects of ethicality on product preference. *Journal of Marketing*, 74(5), 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.5.018>
- Martínez-Fiestas, M., Vázquez, M. I., Sánchez-Fernández, J., & Montoro-Ríos, E. (2015). A psychophysiological approach for measuring response to messaging: How consumers emotionally process green advertising. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 55(2), 192–205. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-55-2-192-205>
- Muñoz-Villamizar, A. F., Velázquez-Martínez, J. C., Haro, P., Ferrer, A., & Mariño, R. (2021). The environmental impact of fast shipping ecommerce in inbound logistics operations: A case study in Mexico. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 283, 125400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125400>
- Naderi, I., & Van Steenburg, E. (2018). Me first, then the environment: young Millennials as green consumers. *Young Consumers*, 19(3), 280–295. <https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-08-2017-00722>
- Nicholls, A., & Opal, C. (2005). *Fair trade: Market-driven ethical consumption*. SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446211526>
- Nielsen. (2015). *The Sustainability Imperative: New insights on consumer expectations*. The Nielsen Company.
- Oliver, J. D., & Lee, S. H. (2010). Hybrid car purchase intentions: A cross-cultural analysis. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 27(2), 96–103. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363761011027204>
- Pino, G., Peluso, A. M., & Guido, G. (2012). Determinants of regular and occasional consumers' intentions to buy organic food. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 46(1), 157–169. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2012.01223.x>
- Pinos, J., Hahladakis, J. N., & Chen, H. (2022). Why is the generation of packaging waste from express deliveries a major problem? *Science of the Total Environment*, 830, 154759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154759>
- Polonsky, M. J., Vocino, A., Grau, S. L., Garma, R., & Ferdous, A. S. (2012). The impact of general and carbon-related environmental knowledge on attitudes and behaviour of US consumers. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 28(3–4), 238–263. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2012.659279>
- Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2117–2135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1533261>

- Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
- Sheth, J. N., Sethia, N. K., & Srinivas, S. (2011). Mindful consumption: a customer-centric approach to sustainability. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 39(1), 21–39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-010-0216-3>
- Sun, X., Foscht, T., Kerschbaumer, R. H., & Eisingerich, A. B. (2022). “Pulling back the curtain”: Company tours as a customer education tool and effects on pro-brand behaviors. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 21(6), 1307–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2088>
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2024). *Digital Economy Report 2024: Shaping an environmentally sustainable and inclusive digital future* (Chapter 5: E-commerce and environmental sustainability). United Nations.
- Vadakkepatt, G. G., Winterich, K. P., Mittal, V., Zinn, W., Beitelspacher, L. S., Aloysius, J., Ginger, J. & Reilman, J. (2021). Sustainable retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 97(1), 62–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2020.10.008>
- Van Loon, P., Deketele, L., Dewaele, J., McKinnon, A., & Rutherford, C. (2015). A comparative analysis of carbon emissions from online retailing of fast-moving consumer goods. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 106, 478–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.06.060>
- Walter, N., Föhl, U., Sander, F., & Nies, A. (2024). Act as you preach! Authentic brand purpose versus “woke washing’s” impact on brand credibility: The moderating role of cause involvement and consumer skepticism. *Journal of Business Research*, 184, 114868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114868>
- Wang, Y., & Yang, Y. (2020). Dialogic communication on social media: How organizations use Twitter to build dialogic relationships with their publics. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 104, 106183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.106183>
- White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). The elusive green consumer. *Harvard Business Review*, 11(1), 124–133.
- Yawar, S. A., & Seuring, S. (2017). Management of social issues in supply chains: A literature review exploring social issues, actions and performance outcomes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(3), 621–643. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2719-9>
- You, S., Li, W., Yan, W., & Sonne, C. (2021). Green strategies for sustainable packaging. *Science*, 372(6544), 802. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj1042>

Assoc. Prof. Aykut Yılmaz serves at the Sakarya Vocational School of Sakarya University of Applied Sciences. He completed his bachelor's degree in business administration at the Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Middle East Technical University (METU), in 2006. In the same year, he entered the private sector and worked for four years in the field of trade marketing. He earned his master's degree at Sakarya University in 2012 with a thesis titled "The Effects of Sales Promotion Activities Implemented on Private Shopping Websites on Consumers' Purchasing Behavior," and completed his doctorate at the same university in 2019 with the dissertation "Developing a Promotion Mix Scale Based on Consumer Attitudes: The CATPROM Scale." He has numerous publications in marketing, including conference papers, journal articles, and book chapters. He maintains active ties with industry and continues to engage in training and consultancy activities.

Assoc. Prof. Gökhan Gürler is a faculty member in the Department of Management and Organization, Faculty of Business Administration, Sakarya University. He earned his bachelor's degree in Business Administration from Abant İzzet Baysal University and completed both his master's and PhD in Management and Organization at Sakarya University. Following his undergraduate studies, he served as a human resources and administrative affairs manager at an international company. He began his academic career at Sakarya University and has held various leadership roles over time, including department chair, program chair, and coordinator. His research interests include family business constitutions, entrepreneurship, strategic management, and institutionalization. He has published articles in national and international journals, authored and coauthored books and book chapters, and produced numerous conference papers and project studies in these areas. He teaches undergraduate and graduate courses in Management and Organization, Strategic Human Resource Management, Entrepreneurship, and Organization Theory. In addition to his academic work, he has collaborated with development agencies, local governments, and non-governmental organizations on projects related to entrepreneurship, vocational education, and regional development, and he continues to provide consultancy and training services in the private sector.